arXiv:1210.1600v2 [math.FA] 17 Oct 2012

Riesz Kernels, Weak Solutions and Pseudodifferential Operators Attached to Quadratic Forms Over $p-$adic Fields

O. Casas-Sánchez and W. A. Zúñiga-Galindo

Abstract. We study hyperbolic type pseudodifferential equations and Riesz kernels attached to certain quadratic forms over p-adic fields. We attach to an elliptic quadratic form of dimension four a family of distributions depending on a complex parameter, the Riesz kernels, and show that these distributions form an Abelian group under convolution. This result implies the existence of fundamental solutions for certain pseudodifferential equations like in the classical case. We introduce the notions of classical and weak solution for a large class of pseudodifferential equations and give a method for computing weak solutions. We also show that these equations admit plane waves as weak solutions. We construct explicit fundamental solutions for pseudodifferential operators whose symbol is a diagonal quadratic form.

1. Introduction

This paper aims to study hyperbolic type pseudodifferential equations and Riesz kernels attached to quadratic forms over p-adic fields motivated by [**4**], [**11**], [**12**], [**13**], [**14**], [**15**], [**18**], [**17**]. To present our results consider the quadratic form $f^{*}(\xi)=\xi_1^2+\ldots+\xi_l^2-\xi_{l+1}^2-\ldots-\xi_n^2$ where n and $\frac{n}{2}+n-l$ are even numbers. We attach to this quadratic form the pseudodifferential operator $\square\varphi:=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[|f^{*}|_p^{\alpha}\,\mathcal{F}[\varphi]\right]$, where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform, $\alpha>0$, and φ is a test function, and the pseudodifferential equation

$$\square u=\phi,\ \ \phi \text{ a test function.} \tag{1.1}$$

We introduce the notions of classical and weak solution for a large class of pseudodifferential equations which includes (1.1) and show the existence of weak solutions having the form $u=E_{\alpha}\ast\phi+u_H$, where $\square u_H=0$, see Theorem 5. The construction of u_H requires the study of the Fourier transforms of distributions supported on certain p-adic submanifolds attached to the polynomial f^{*}, see Sections 6.2-6.3. On the other hand, E_{α} is a fundamental solution of (1.1) constructed using the technique introduced by Atiyah in [**2**] and adapted by the second author to the p-adic case in [**31**], see also [**25**]. This technique is based on the existence of

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35S05, 11S40; Secondary 47S10.

Key words and phrases. Pseudodifferential equations, Riesz kernels, Local zeta functions, Non-Archimedean analysis.

The second author was partially supported by CONACYT under Grant # 127794.

a meromorphic continuation for distributions of type

$$\left(|f^*|_p^s, \phi\right) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n \smallsetminus \{0\}} |f^*(\xi)|_p^s \, \phi(\xi) \, d^n\xi, \ \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0.$$

These distributions, called local zeta functions, were introduced in the 50's by I. Gel'fand and A. Weil, see [**6**], [**7**]. To construct E_α, see Theorem 4, we use a theorem of Rallis-Schiffmann that asserts that distributions of type $|f^*|_p^s$ satisfy certain functional equations, see [**16**], also [**10**], [**20**], [**21**], [**19**]. In order to use this result we compute all the gamma factors that appear in the functional equation for p-adic quadratic forms of type $a_1\xi_1^2 + a_2\xi_2^2 + \cdots + a_n\xi_n^2$, see Theorem 1. In the special case in which $n = 4$ and the quadratic form is elliptic, we attach to it a Riesz kernel, which is a local zeta function times a suitable gamma factor. We show that these Riesz kernels form an Abelian group under the operation of convolution, see Theorem 2. As consequence, we obtain fundamental solutions for equations of type (1.1) on Lizorkin spaces, see Theorem 3. It is relevant to mention here that in the p-adic setting the connection between fundamental solutions and Riesz kernels was known only for pseudodifferential operators whose symbols involve 'polynomials of degree one.' The technique presented here is applicable to any quadratic form, however, our method requires an explicit calculation of all the gamma factors, which is not a straightforward matter, appearing in the functional equation of the corresponding local zeta function. We also obtain the existence of a pseudodifferential operator $\boldsymbol{f}(\partial, 1)$, acting on a space of Lizorkin distributions, and a gamma factor $A(s)$ such that $\boldsymbol{f}(\partial, 1) |f|_p^{s+1} = A(s) |f|_p^s$, where f is an elliptic quadratic form of dimension 4, see Theorem 3. This is a non Archimedean pseudodifferential example of a celebrated result of Sato-Bernstein, see [**19**], [**7**], [**34**, Section 6.1.2].

We now explain the motivations behind this work. During the last twenty years the interest on p-adic models (or more generally non Archimedean) has been increasing mainly because these models are convenient for describing phenomena whose space of states display a hierarchical structure. Some of these new models involved p-adic pseudodifferential equations. For instance, the p-adic models for relaxation processes in complex systems use parabolic type pseudodifferential equations, see e.g. [**3**, and the references therein]. The Riesz kernels are naturally connected with several types of (pseudo) differential equations in the Archimedean setting, see e.g. [**4**], [**15**], [**17**], [**18**], and non Archimedean one, see e.g. [**1**], [**11**], [**24**], [**25**], [**26**], [**28**]. In particular, in the non Archimedean setting, Riesz kernels attach to 'polynomials of degree one' has been used to solve pseudodifferential equations [**1**], [**11**], [**28**]. Our first motivation was to extend these results to the case of polynomials of higher degree to obtain p-adic analogs of the results of [**18**], [**4**]. We obtained such results in dimension four for elliptic quadratic forms only. It is interesting to mention that the corresponding pseudodifferential operators appeared in certain models of polynomial interactions in a p-adic analog of Euclidean quantum field theory [**14**]. Our second motivation was to study hyperbolic type equations in a p-adic setting. We have to mention here that pseudodifferential equations introduced here admit 'plane waves' as weak solutions, see Corollary 2, and in dimension four the solutions are connected with Riesz kernels in the spirit of [**18**]. Other types of parabolic pseudodifferential equations were introduced in [**12**]-[**13**].

Acknowledgement. The authors want to thank to Professor Fumihiro Sato for his kind assistance on the functional equation for the local zeta function attached

to a quadratic form. In particular, we are very grateful to him for allowing us to use some of the ideas of his unpublished manuscript [**22**], see also Remark 2. The second author wants to thank to Sergii Torba for several useful comments and discussions about this article.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we fix the notation and collect some basic results on p-adic analysis that we will use through the article. For a detailed exposition on p-adic analysis the reader may consult [**1**], [**26**], [**28**].

2.1. The field of p-adic numbers. Along this article p will denote a prime number different from 2. The field of $p-$adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is defined as the completion of the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ with respect to the $p-$adic norm $|\cdot|_p$, which is defined as

$$|x|_p = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ p^{-\gamma} & \text{if } x = p^{\gamma}\dfrac{a}{b}, \end{cases}$$

where a and b are integers coprime with p. The integer $\gamma := ord(x)$, with $ord(0) := +\infty$, is called the *$p-$adic order of x*. We extend the $p-$adic norm to $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ by taking

$$||x||_p := \max_{1\leq i\leq n} |x_i|_p, \qquad \text{for } x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n.$$

We define $ord(x) = \min_{1\leq i\leq n}\{ord(x_i)\}$, then $||x||_p = p^{-\mathrm{ord}(x)}$. Any $p-$adic number $x \neq 0$ has a unique expansion $x = p^{ord(x)}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_i p^j$, where $x_j \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$ and $x_0 \neq 0$. By using this expansion, we define *the fractional part of* $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, denoted $\{x\}_p$, as the rational number

$$\{x\}_p = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ or } ord(x) \geq 0 \\ p^{\mathrm{ord}(x)}\sum_{j=0}^{-ord(x)-1} x_j p^j & \text{if } ord(x) < 0. \end{cases}$$

For $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by $B_\gamma^n(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n : ||x - a||_p \leq p^\gamma\}$ *the ball of radius p^γ with center at* $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n$, and take $B_\gamma^n(0) := B_\gamma^n$. Note that $B_\gamma^n(a) = B_\gamma(a_1) \times \cdots \times B_\gamma(a_n)$, where $B_\gamma(a_i) := \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x_i - a_i|_p \leq p^\gamma\}$ is the one-dimensional ball of radius p^γ with center at $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. The ball $B_0^n(0)$ is equals the product of n copies of $B_0(0) := \mathbb{Z}_p$, *the ring of $p-$adic integers.*

2.2. The Bruhat-Schwartz space. A complex-valued function φ defined on $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ is *called locally constant* if for any $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ there exist an integer $l(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\varphi(x + x') = \varphi(x) \text{ for } x' \in B_{l(x)}^n. \tag{2.1}$$

A function $\varphi : \mathbb{Q}_p^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a *Bruhat-Schwartz function (or a test function)* if it is locally constant with compact support. The $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of Bruhat-Schwartz functions is denoted by $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. For $\varphi \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$, the largest of such number $l = l(\varphi)$ satisfying (2.1) is called *the exponent of local constancy of φ.*

Let $\mathbf{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ denote the set of all functionals (distributions) on $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. All functionals on $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ are continuous.

Set $\chi(y) = \exp(2\pi i\{y\}_p)$ for $y \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. The map $\chi(\cdot)$ is an additive character on $\mathbb{Q}_p$, i.e. a continuos map from $\mathbb{Q}_p$ into S (the unit circle) satisfying $\chi(y_0 + y_1) = \chi(y_0)\chi(y_1)$, $y_0, y_1 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Given $\xi=(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_n)$ and $x=(x_1,\dots,x_n)\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n$, we set $\xi\cdot x:=\sum_{j=1}^n\xi_jx_j$. The Fourier transform of $\varphi\in\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ is defined as

$$(\mathcal{F}\varphi)(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n}\chi(-\xi\cdot x)\varphi(\xi)d^nx\quad\text{for }\xi\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n,$$

where d^nx is the Haar measure on $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ normalized by the condition $vol(B_0^n)=1$. The Fourier transform is a linear isomorphism from $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ onto itself satisfying $(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}\varphi))(\xi)=\varphi(-\xi)$. We will also use the notation $\mathcal{F}_{x\rightarrow\xi}\varphi$ and $\widehat{\varphi}$ for the Fourier transform of φ.

2.3. Operations on Distributions. Let Ω denote the characteristic function of the interval $[0,1]$. Then $\Delta_k(x):=\Omega\left(p^{-k}\left\Vert x\right\Vert_p\right)$ is the characteristic function of the ball $B_k(0)$.

2.3.1. *Convolution.* Given $f,g\in\mathbf{S}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$, their convolution $f*g$ is defined by

$$(f*g,\varphi)=\lim_{k\rightarrow+\infty}\left(f(y)\times g(x),\Delta_k(x)\varphi(x+y)\right)$$

if the limit exists for all $\varphi\in\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$. We recall that if $f*g$ exists, then $g*f$ exists and $f*g=g*f$, see e.g. [**28**, Section VII.1]. In the case in which $g=\psi\in\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$,

$$(f*\psi,\varphi)=\left(f(y),\psi(x-y)\right),$$

see e.g. [**28**, Section VII.1].

2.3.2. *Fourier transform.* The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}[f]$ of a distribution $f\in\mathbf{S}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$ is defined by

$$\left(\mathcal{F}[f],\varphi\right)=\left(f,\mathcal{F}[\varphi]\right)\text{ for all }\varphi\in\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right).$$

The Fourier transform $f\rightarrow\mathcal{F}[f]$ is a linear isomorphism from $\mathbf{S}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$ onto $\mathbf{S}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$. Furthermore, $f=\mathcal{F}\left[\mathcal{F}[f](-\xi)\right]$.

2.3.3. *Multiplication.* Set $\delta_k(x):=p^{nk}\Omega\left(p^k\left\Vert x\right\Vert_p\right)$ for $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Given $f,g\in\mathbf{S}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$, their product $f\cdot g$ is defined by

$$(f\cdot g,\varphi)=\lim_{k\rightarrow+\infty}\left(g,(f*\delta_k)\varphi\right)$$

if the limit exists for all $\varphi\in\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$. We recall that the existence of the product $f\cdot g$ is equivalent to the existence of $\mathcal{F}[f]*\mathcal{F}[g]$. In addition, $\mathcal{F}[f\cdot g]=\mathcal{F}[f]*\mathcal{F}[g]$ and $\mathcal{F}[f*g]=\mathcal{F}[f]\cdot\mathcal{F}[g]$, see e.g. [**28**, Section VII.5]. The following result will be used later on.

Lemma 1 ([**28**, Section VII.5].). *Let f,g functions in L_{loc}^1 for which the function*

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n}g(x)\varphi(x)f(x-\xi)d^nx,$$

is continuos at $\xi=0\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n$, for any $\varphi\in\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$. Then the product $f\cdot g$ is in $\mathbf{S}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$ and the distribution is induced by the pointwise product $f(x)g(x)$.

2.4. The Hilbert Symbol. The Hilbert symbol $(a,b)_p$, $a,b\in\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$, is defined by

$$(a,b)_p=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } ax^2+by^2-z^2=0 \text{ has a solution } (x,y,z)\neq(0,0,0) \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}_p^3\\ -1 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

The Hilbert symbol possesses the following properties (see e.g. Theorem 3.3.1 [**9**]):

$$(a,b)_p=(b,a)_p \text{ and } (a,c^2)_p=1, \text{ for } a,b,c\in\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}; \tag{2.2}$$

$$(ab,c)_p=(a,c)_p(b,c)_p, \text{ for } a,b,c\in\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}; \tag{2.3}$$

$$\begin{cases}(a,b)_p=1 & \text{for } a,b\in\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}\\ (a,p)_p=\left(\dfrac{a_0}{p}\right) & \text{for } a\in\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times},\end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

where $a_0\in\mathbb{Z}$, with $a\equiv a_0 \operatorname{mod}\mathbb{Z}_p$, and $\left(\dfrac{a_0}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol.

Along this article $\left[\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}\right]^2$ denotes the subgroup of squares of $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$. We recall that $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}/\left[\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}\right]^2$ is a finite group with four elements. We fix $\{1,\epsilon,p,\epsilon p\}$ to be a set of representatives, here ϵ is unit which is not square.

It is clear that $(a,b)_p$ does not change when a and b are multiplied by squares, thus the Hilbert symbol gives rise a map from $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}/\left[\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}\right]^2\times\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}/\left[\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}\right]^2$ into $\{1,-1\}$. Therefore, for a fixed $\beta\in\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$, $\pi_\beta(t):=(\beta,t)_p$ defines a multiplicative character on $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$.

2.5. The Weil Constant. Let

$$f(x)=a_1x_1^2+a_2x_2^2+\cdots+a_nx_n^2,\quad a_i\in\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times},\quad i=1,2,\ldots,n, \tag{2.5}$$

be a *quadratic form.* A such quadratic form is characterized by three invariants:

(i) the dimension n;

(ii) the discriminant $D=a_1a_2\cdots a_n \operatorname{mod}\left[\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}\right]^2$;

(iii) the Hasse invariant $H=\prod_{i<j}(a_i,a_j)_p$.

By [**29**, Theoreme 2], see also [**16**, Theoreme 1.1], there exist a complex constant $\gamma(f)$ of absolute value one, such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n}\hat{\varphi}(x)\chi(tf(x))d^nx\\ &=\gamma(tf)|t|_p^{-n/2}|D|_p^{-1/2}\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n}\varphi(x)\chi\left(-\frac{1}{t}f\left(\frac{x_1}{2a_1},\ldots,\frac{x_n}{2a_n}\right)\right)d^nx,\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

for all $t\in\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$, where $D=a_1a_2\cdots a_n$.

Since $\gamma(f)=\gamma(a_1x_1^2)\cdots\gamma(a_nx_n^2)$, see e.g. [**29**, p. 173], the calculation of $\gamma(f)$ is reduced to the case $n=1$. For a $\alpha\in\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$, we set $\gamma(\alpha):=\gamma(\alpha x_1^2)$.

Lemma 2. *For a unit* $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, *with* $u \equiv u_0 \bmod p\mathbb{Z}_p$, *we have* $\gamma(u) = 1$ *and* $\gamma(up) = \left(\frac{u_0}{p}\right)\sigma_p$, *where*

$$\sigma_p := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \\ \sqrt{-1} & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \bmod 4. \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. Take $\varphi(x)$ to be the characteristic function of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, by (2.6)

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \hat{\varphi}(x)\chi(ux^2)dx = \gamma(u)|u|_p^{-1/2}\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\varphi(x)\chi\left(-\frac{x^2}{4u}\right)dx$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} dx = \gamma(u).$$

In the case up with $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, by applying (2.6) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \hat{\varphi}(x)\chi(upx^2)dx = \gamma(up)|up|_p^{-1/2}\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\varphi(x)\chi\left(-\frac{x^2}{4pu}\right)dx$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \chi(upx^2)dx = \gamma(up)p^{1/2}\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\chi\left(-\frac{x^2}{4pu}\right)dx$$

$$1 = \gamma(up)p^{1/2}\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\chi\left(-\frac{x^2}{4pu}\right)dx.$$

If $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p \smallsetminus \{0\}$ we set $z = z_0 + z_1 p + \ldots + z_k p^k + \ldots$ with $z_k = \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$. Now by changing variables ($x = 2uy$) in the previous integral:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\chi\left(-\frac{x^2}{4pu}\right)dx &= \int_{|y|_p \leq 1}\chi\left(-\frac{uy^2}{p}\right)dy \\ &= \int_{|y|_p = 1}\chi\left(-\frac{uy^2}{p}\right)dy + \int_{|y|_p < 1}\chi\left(-\frac{uy^2}{p}\right)dy \\ &= \frac{1}{p}\sum_{y_0=1}^{p-1} exp\left\{-2\pi i\frac{u_0 y_0^2}{p}\right\} + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p}\sum_{y_0=0}^{p-1} exp\left\{-2\pi i\frac{u_0 y_0^2}{p}\right\} \\ &= p^{-1/2}\left(\frac{-u_0}{p}\right)\sigma_p,\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used a result of Gauss on quadratic exponential sums, see e.g. [**28**, p. 55]. Therefore

$$\gamma(up) = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\left(\frac{u_0}{p}\right)\sigma_p} = \left(\frac{u_0}{p}\right)\sigma_p.$$

□

The next lemma shows the relation between the constant γ and the Hilbert symbol.

Lemma 3. *With the above notation, the following assertions hold.*
(i) $\gamma(-a)\gamma(a) = 1$.
(ii) Set $h(x) = x_1^2 - ax_2^2 - bx_3^2 + abx_4^2$ *with* $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$. *Then*

$$\gamma(h) = \gamma(1)\gamma(-a)\gamma(-b)\gamma(ab) = (a, b)_p.$$

(iii) If $n \equiv 0 \bmod 2$*, then* $\gamma(tf) = \gamma(f)(t, D^*)_p$ *for any* $t \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$*, where*

$$D^* := (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} D.$$

Proof. (i) See [**29**, Section No. 25, p. 173]. (ii) See [**29**, Section No. 28, p. 176]. (iii) See [**16**, Proposition 1.7]. □

2.6. Local zeta functions. For $a > 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ we set $a^s := e^{s \ln a}$. Let $f(x)$ be a quadratic form over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\pi_\beta(t) = (\beta, t)_p, \quad t \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ as before. The local function zeta attached to (f, π_β) is the distribution given by

$$Z_\varphi(s, \pi_\beta, f) := Z_\varphi(s, \pi_\beta) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n \smallsetminus f^{-1}(0)} \pi_\beta(f(x)) |f(x)|_p^{s-n/2} \varphi(x) d^n x, \tag{2.8}$$

$\varphi \in \mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$ and $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$. If $\beta = 1$, the we use $Z_\varphi(s, f)$ instead of $Z_\varphi(s, \pi_1, f)$. The local zeta function are defined for arbitrary polynomials and arbitrary multiplicative characters. These objects were introduced in the 60´s by A. Weil and since then they have been studied intensively, see e.g. [**7**]. The local zeta function $Z_\varphi(s, \pi_\beta)$ is a distribution on $\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$ for $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$, which admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane (for arbitrary f and π_β) such that $Z_\varphi(s, \pi_\beta)$ is a rational function of p^{-s}, see [**7**, Theorem 8.2.1].

The *singular series* attached to f is the distribution defined by

$$M_\varphi(t) = \int_{f^{-1}(t)} \varphi(x) d\omega_t(x),\ t \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \text{ and } \varphi \in \mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right),$$

where $d\omega_t$ is a Borel measure supported on $f^{-1}(t)$, see Subsection 6.2, alternatively see [**7**, Section 7.6]. The measure $d\omega_t$ is also denoted as $\delta\left(f(x) - t\right)$. The function M_φ is locally constant on $\mathbb{Q}_p \smallsetminus \{0\}$, and it has compact support in $\mathbb{Q}_p$, see e.g. [**16**, Proposition 2.6]. The *oscillatory integral* attached to f is the distribution defined by

$$E_\varphi(z) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \varphi(x) \chi(z f(x)) d^n x,\ z \in \mathbb{Q}_p \text{ and } \varphi \in \mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right).$$

We now fix φ and consider $Z_\varphi(s, \pi_\beta)$, $M_\varphi(t)$ and $E_\varphi(z)$ as functions for the rest of this section. It is known that

$$E_\varphi = \mathcal{F}\left[M_\varphi\right], \tag{2.9}$$

see [**7**, Theorem 8.3.1] and that

$$Z_\varphi(s, \pi_\beta) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^\times} \pi_\beta(t) |t|_p^{s-n/2} M_\varphi(t) dt, \tag{2.10}$$

see [**7**, Section 8.4].

Remark 1. *By using (2.6) we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}\left[M_{\widehat{\varphi}}\right](t) &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \widehat{\varphi}(x) \chi(t f(x)) d^n x \\
&= \gamma(tf) |t|_p^{-n/2} |D|_p^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \varphi(x) \chi\left(-\frac{1}{t} f\left(\frac{x_1}{2a_1}, \ldots, \frac{x_n}{2a_n}\right)\right) d^n x \\
&= \gamma(tf) |t|_p^{-n/2} |D|_p^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \varphi(x) \chi\left(-\frac{1}{4t} f^*(x)\right) d^n x,
\end{aligned}$$

where $f^*(x) := f\left(\frac{x_1}{a_1}, \ldots, \frac{x_n}{a_n}\right)$. *By taking*

$$\mathcal{F}\left[M_{\varphi}^{*}\right](t) := \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \varphi(x)\chi\left(tf^*(x)\right)d^n x, \tag{2.11}$$

we have

$$\mathcal{F}\left[M_{\widehat{\varphi}}\right](t) = \gamma(tf)|t|_p^{-n/2}|D|_p^{-1/2}\mathcal{F}\left[M_{\varphi}^{*}\right]\left(-\frac{1}{4t}\right) \tag{2.12}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ *and* $\varphi \in \mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$.

2.7. Functional equations. It is well-known that the Fourier transform of the distribution $\pi_\beta(t)|t|_p^{s-1}$ is $\rho(\pi_\beta, s)\pi_\beta^{-1}(t)|t|_p^{-s}$ i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}} \widehat{\varphi}(t)\pi_\beta(t)|t|_p^{s-1}dt = \rho(\pi_\beta, s)\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}} \varphi(t)\pi_\beta^{-1}(t)|t|_p^{-s}dt, \tag{2.13}$$

for all $\varphi(t) \in S(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, see e.g. [**28**, Section VIII.2]. We recall that (2.13) is a particular case of the functional equation for the Iwasawa-Tate local zeta function see e.g. [**27**, Theoerem 2.4.1 and Lemma 2.4.3].

We now compute the factors $\rho(\pi_\beta, s)$ appearing in (2.13).

LEMMA 4. *Set* $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}/\left[\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}\right]^2 = \{1, \epsilon, p, \epsilon p\}$ *where* ϵ *is unit which is not square. Then*

(i) $\rho(\pi_1, s) = \frac{1-p^{s-1}}{1-p^{-s}}$;

(ii) $\rho(\pi_\epsilon, s) = \frac{1+p^{s-1}}{1+p^{-s}}$;

(iii) $\rho(\pi_\eta, s) = \pm\sigma_p p^{s-\frac{1}{2}}$, $\eta = p, \epsilon p$ *with* σ_p *as in (2.7).*

PROOF. (i) Take $\varphi(t)$ to be the characteristic function of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ in (2.13), then

$$\rho(\pi_1, s) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p \smallsetminus \{0\}} |t|_p^{s-1}dt}{\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p \smallsetminus \{0\}} |t|_p^{-s}dt} = \frac{1-p^{s-1}}{1-p^{-s}}.$$

(ii) Note that $\pi_\epsilon(t) = (-1)^{ord(t)}$, see [**28**, Lemma on p. 130], by taking $\varphi(t)$ to be the characteristic function of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ in (2.13), we have

$$\rho(\pi_\epsilon, s) = \frac{1+p^{s-1}}{1+p^{-s}}.$$

(iii) Set

$$\mathbb{Q}_{p,\eta}^{\times} := \left\{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times} \mid x = a^2 - \eta b^2, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_p\right\}$$

and

$$sgn_\eta(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q}_{p,\eta}^{\times} \\ -1 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q}_{p,\eta}^{\times}. \end{cases}$$

In [**28**, p. 129] is proved that $\rho(\pi_\eta, s) = \pm\sqrt{sgn_\eta(-1)}p^{s-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $\eta = p, \epsilon p$. Since $(t, \eta)_p = sgn_\eta(t)$ we have

$$\pm\sqrt{sgn_\eta(-1)} = \pm\sqrt{(\eta, -1)_p} = \pm\sqrt{\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)} = \pm\sigma_p.$$

□

Set

$$Z_{\varphi}^{*}(s,\pi_{\beta}) := \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n \smallsetminus f^{*-1}(0)} \pi_{\beta}(f^{*}(x))|f^{*}(x)|_p^{s-n/2}\varphi(x)d^n x.$$

Then from (2.9) and (2.11) we have

$$Z_{\varphi}^{*}(s,\pi_{\beta}) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}} \pi_{\beta}(t)|t|_p^{s-n/2}M_{\varphi}^{*}(t)dt. \tag{2.14}$$

Theorem 1. *If $n \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, then $Z_{\varphi}(s)$ satisfies*

$$Z_{\widehat{\varphi}}(s) = \rho(\pi_1, s-\frac{n}{2}+1)\rho(\pi_{D^*},s)|D|_p^{-1/2}\gamma(f)Z_{\varphi}^{*}(-s+n/2,\pi_{D^*}^{-1})$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)$.

Proof. By (2.10), (2.13) and (2.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned}Z_{\widehat{\varphi}}(s) &= \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}} |t|_p^{s-n/2}M_{\widehat{\varphi}}(t)dt = \rho(\pi_1, s-\frac{n}{2}+1)\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}} |t|_p^{n/2-s-1}\mathcal{F}\left[M_{\widehat{\varphi}}\right](t)dt \\ &= \rho(\pi_1, s-\frac{n}{2}+1)|D|_p^{-1/2}\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}} \gamma(tf)|t|^{-s-1}\mathcal{F}\left[M_{\varphi}^{*}\right]\left(-\frac{1}{4t}\right)dt.\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 3 (iii),

$$\begin{aligned}Z_{\widehat{\varphi}}(s) &= \rho(\pi_1, s-\frac{n}{2}+1)|D|_p^{-1/2}\gamma(f)\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}} \pi_{D^*}(t)|t|_p^{-s-1}\mathcal{F}\left[M_{\varphi}^{*}\right]\left(-\frac{1}{4t}\right)dt \\ &= \rho(\pi_1, s-\frac{n}{2}+1)|D|_p^{-1/2}\gamma(f)\pi_{D^*}(-1)\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}} \pi_{D^*}^{-1}(z)|z|_p^{s-1}\mathcal{F}\left[M_{\varphi}^{*}\right](z)\,dz.\end{aligned}$$

Now by (2.13),

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}} \pi_{D^*}^{-1}(t)|z|_p^{s-1}\mathcal{F}\left[M_{\varphi}^{*}\right](z)dz &= \rho(\pi_{D^*}^{-1},s)\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}} \pi_{D^*}(z)|z|_p^{-s}M_{\varphi}^{*}(-z)dz \\ &= \rho(\pi_{D^*}^{-1},s)\pi_{D^*}(-1)\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}} \pi_{D^*}(z)|z|_p^{-s}M_{\varphi}^{*}(z)dz \\ &= \rho(\pi_{D^*},s)\pi_{D^*}(-1)\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}} \pi_{D^*}(z)|z|_p^{-s}M_{\varphi}^{*}(z)dz\end{aligned}$$

since $\pi_{D^*} = \pi_{D^*}^{-1}$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}Z_{\widehat{\varphi}}(s) &= \rho(\pi_1, s-\frac{n}{2}+1)|D|_p^{-1/2}\gamma(f)\rho(\pi_{D^*},s)\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}} \pi_{D^*}(z)|z|_p^{-s}M_{\varphi}^{*}(z)dz \\ &= \rho(\pi_1, s-\frac{n}{2}+1)|D|_p^{-1/2}\gamma(f)\rho(\pi_{D^*},s)Z_{\varphi}^{*}(-s+n/2,\pi_{D^*}^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2. *Theorem 1 is a particular case of Theorem 2-13 in* [**16**]*, see also Section 3.2 in* [**20**]*, and the technique used in its proof is known. We include this result here mainly because we did not find a suitable reference showing the computations of the gamma factors appearing in the functional equation.*

2.8. Some explicit functional equations.

Corollary 1. *Let $f(x)$ be as before. Assume that $n\equiv 0 \bmod 2$ and that D^{*} is a square. Then*

$$Z_{\hat{\varphi}}(s)=\rho(\pi_{1},s-\frac{n}{2}+1)\rho(\pi_{1},s)|D|_{p}^{-1/2}\gamma(f)Z_{\varphi}^{*}(-s+n/2)$$

for any $\varphi\in\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{n}\right)$.

Proposition 1. *If $f(x)=x_{1}^{2}-\eta x_{2}^{2}$, $\eta=\epsilon,p,p\epsilon$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{2}\smallsetminus\{0\}}|f(x)|_{p}^{s-1}\widehat{\varphi}(x)dx$$

$$=\begin{cases}\dfrac{1-p^{2(s-1)}}{1-p^{-2s}}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{2}\smallsetminus\{0\}}|\eta x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}|_{p}^{-s}\varphi(x)dx_{1}dx_{2} & \text{if } \eta=\epsilon\\ \dfrac{1-p^{s-1}}{1-p^{-s}}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{2}\smallsetminus\{0\}}|\eta x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}|_{p}^{-s}\varphi(x)dx_{1}dx_{2} & \text{if } \eta=p,p\epsilon.\end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $D^{*}=-D=\eta$ and $\pi_{-D}(f^{*}(x))=(\eta,x_{1}^{2}-\eta x_{2}^{2})_{p}=1$. By Theorem 1, we have

$$Z_{\widehat{\varphi}}(s)=\rho(\pi_{1},s)\rho(\pi_{-D},s)|D|_{p}^{-1/2}\gamma(f)Z_{\varphi}^{*}(1-s,\pi_{1})$$

$$=\rho(\pi_{1},s)\rho(\pi_{-D},s)|D|_{p}^{-1/2}\gamma(f)|\eta|_{p}^{s}\int_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{2}\smallsetminus\{0\}}|\eta x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}|_{p}^{-s}\varphi\left(x\right)dx_{1}dx_{2}.$$

The announced functional equations follow from the following calculations. (i) Take $\eta=\epsilon$, then $|\epsilon|_{p}^{-1/2}=|\epsilon|_{p}^{s}=1$, $\gamma(f)=\gamma(1)\gamma(-\epsilon)=1$, see Lemma 2, and $\pi_{-D}\left(\epsilon\right)=\left(\epsilon,\epsilon\right)_{p}=1$, see (2.4). Furthermore $\rho(\pi_{1},s)=\frac{1-p^{s-1}}{1-p^{-s}}$, $\rho(\pi_{\epsilon},s)=\frac{1+p^{s-1}}{1+p^{-s}}$, see Lemma 4.

(ii) Take $\eta=p$, $p\epsilon$, in this case we have $|\eta|_{p}^{-1/2}=p^{1/2}$, $\gamma(f)=\gamma(-\eta)=\pm\sigma_{p}$ (see Lemma 2) and $\rho(\pi_{\eta},s)=\pm\sigma_{p}p^{s-\frac{1}{2}}$ (see Lemma 4). Then

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(\pi_{1},s)\rho(\pi_{-D},s)|D|_{p}^{-1/2}\gamma(f)|\eta|_{p}^{s}&=\frac{1-p^{s-1}}{1-p^{-s}}(\pm\sigma_{p})p^{s-\frac{1}{2}}p^{1/2}(\pm\sigma_{p})p^{-s}\\&=\frac{1-p^{s-1}}{1-p^{-s}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2. *Take $f(x)=x_{1}^{2}-ax_{2}^{2}-px_{3}^{2}+apx_{4}^{2}$, with $a\in\mathbb{Z}$ a quadratic non-residue module p. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{4}\backslash\{0\}}|f(x)|^{s-2}\widehat{\varphi}(x)d^{4}x=\frac{1-p^{s-2}}{(1-p^{-s})}\int_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{4}\backslash\{0\}}|apx_{1}^{2}-px_{2}^{2}-ax_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}|_{p}^{-s}\varphi(x)d^{4}x.$$

Proof. In this case $n = 4$, $D = p^2a^2$, $D^* = D$ and $\gamma(f) = (a,p)_p = -1$ (see Lemma 3 (ii) and (2.4), then the functional equation takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4\backslash\{0\}} |f(x)|_p^{s-2}\widehat{\varphi}(x)d^4x \\
&= -\rho(\pi_1, s-1)\rho(\pi_1, s)p \int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4\backslash\{0\}} |x_1^2 - a^{-1}x_2^2 - p^{-1}x_3^2 + (ap)^{-1}x_4^2|_p^{-s}\varphi(x)d^4x \\
&= -|ap|_p^s p\frac{1-p^{s-2}}{1-p^{1-s}}\frac{1-p^{s-1}}{1-p^{-s}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4\backslash\{0\}} |apx_1^2 - px_2^2 - ax_3^2 + x_4^2|_p^{-s}\varphi(x)d^4x \\
&= \frac{1-p^{s-2}}{(1-p^{-s})} \int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4\backslash\{0\}} |apx_1^2 - px_2^2 - ax_3^2 + x_4^2|_p^{-s}\varphi(x)d^4x.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. Riesz Kernels and Lizorkin Spaces of Second Kind

In this section we introduce a new type of Riesz kernels depending on a complex parameter and a certain quadratic form. The main result of this section establishes that these kernels considered as distributions on a Lizorkin spaces of second kind form an Abelian group under convolution.

3.1. Riesz Kernels. In this section $f(x) := x_1^2 - ax_2^2 - px_3^2 + apx_4^2$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ a quadratic non-residue module p. Note that $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and that $f(x)$ is an elliptic quadratic form, i.e. $f(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$. We call the function

$$K_\alpha(x) := \frac{1-p^{-\alpha}}{1-p^{\alpha-2}}|f(x)|_p^{\alpha-2}, \quad \mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > 0,\ \alpha \neq 2 + \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\ln p}\mathbb{Z},$$

the Riesz kernel attached to $f(x)$.

Lemma 5. *Set* φ *to be the characteristic function of the ball* $\widetilde{x}_0 + (p^m\mathbb{Z}_p)^4$. *Then*

$$Z_\varphi(\alpha, f) = \begin{cases} \frac{p^{-2\alpha m}\left(1-p^{-2}\right)}{1-p^{-\alpha}} & \text{if } \ \widetilde{x}_0 \in (p^m\mathbb{Z}_p)^4 \\[2ex] \begin{array}{c}\text{holomorphic function}\\ \text{in } \alpha, \text{ for } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\end{array} & \text{if } \ \widetilde{x}_0 \notin (p^m\mathbb{Z}_p)^4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We consider first the case $\widetilde{x}_0 \in (p^m\mathbb{Z}_p)^4$. Set

$$Z(\alpha) := \int\limits_{\mathbb{Z}_p^4 \smallsetminus f^{-1}(0)} |f(x)|_p^{\alpha-2}\, d^4x \text{ for } \mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > 2.$$

By a change of variables $Z_\varphi(\alpha, f) = p^{-2\alpha m}Z(\alpha)$. The result follows from the following formula:

$$Z(\alpha) = \frac{1-p^{-2}}{1-p^{-\alpha}} \text{ for } \mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > 2. \tag{3.1}$$

Set $\mathbb{Z}_p^4 = (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^4 \bigsqcup U$ with $U = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^4 : \|x\|_p = 1 \right\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\alpha) &= \int\limits_{(p\mathbb{Z}_p)^4} |f(x)|_p^{\alpha-2} \, d^4x + \int\limits_U |f(x)|_p^{\alpha-2} \, d^4x \\ &= p^{-2\alpha} Z(\alpha) + \int\limits_U |f(x)|_p^{\alpha-2} \, d^4x, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$Z(\alpha) = \frac{1}{1-p^{-2\alpha}} \int\limits_U |f(x)|_p^{\alpha-2} \, d^4x.$$

In order to show (3.1), it is sufficient to prove the following formula:

$$\int\limits_U |f(x)|_p^{\alpha-2} \, d^4x = (1-p^{-2}) \left(1+p^{-\alpha}\right) \text{ for } \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 2. \tag{3.2}$$

This formula can be established as follows. For $i = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \in \{0,1\}^4 \smallsetminus \{(1,1,1,1)\}$ we define

$$U^{(i)} = U_1^{(i)} \times U_2^{(i)} \times U_3^{(i)} \times U_4^{(i)},$$

$$U_j^{(i)} := \begin{cases} p^{i_j}\mathbb{Z}_p & \text{if} \quad i_j = 1 \\ \\ \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} & \text{if} \quad i_j = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $U = \bigsqcup_i U^{(i)}$ and

$$\int\limits_U |f(x)|_p^{\alpha-2} \, d^4x = \sum_i \int\limits_{U^{(i)}} |f(x)|_p^{\alpha-2} \, d^4x := \sum_i Z_i(\alpha).$$

By a direct calculation one finds:

Index i	$Z_i(\alpha)$
$(1,1,1,0)$	$p^{-\alpha-1}\left(1-p^{-1}\right)$
$(1,1,0,1)$	$p^{-\alpha-1}\left(1-p^{-1}\right)$
$(1,1,0,0)$	$p^{-\alpha}\left(1-p^{-1}\right)^2$
$(1,0,1,1)$, $(0,1,1,1)$	$\left(1-p^{-1}\right)p^{-3}$
$(1,0,1,0)$, $(1,0,0,1)$, $(0,1,1,0)$ $(0,1,0,1)$, $(0,0,1,1)$	$\left(1-p^{-1}\right)^2 p^{-2}$
$(1,0,0,0)$, $(0,1,0,0)$, $(0,0,1,0)$ $(0,0,0,1)$	$\left(1-p^{-1}\right)^3 p^{-1}$
$(0,0,0,0)$	$\left(1-p^{-1}\right)^4$

In the case $\widetilde{x}_0 \notin (p^m\mathbb{Z}_p)^4$, f does not vanish on the ball $\widetilde{x}_0 + (p^m\mathbb{Z}_p)^4$ which implies that $Z_\varphi(\alpha)$ is a holomorphic function on the whole complex plane. $\square$

Lemma 6. *$K_\alpha(x)$ possesses, as a distribution on $S(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$, a meromorphic continuation to all $\alpha \neq 2 + \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{\ln p}\mathbb{Z}$ given by*

$$\langle K_\alpha, \varphi\rangle = \varphi(0)\frac{1-p^{-2}}{1-p^{\alpha-2}} +$$
$$\frac{1-p^{-\alpha}}{1-p^{\alpha-2}}\left[\int_{||x||_p>1}\varphi(x)|f(x)|_p^{\alpha-2}d^4x + \int_{||x||_p\leq 1}\left(\varphi(x)-\varphi\left(0\right)\right)|f(x)|_p^{\alpha-2}d^4x\right].$$

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 5 by

$$\langle K_\alpha, \varphi\rangle = \frac{1-p^{-\alpha}}{1-p^{\alpha-2}}\int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4\setminus\{0\}}\varphi(x)|f\left(x\right)|_p^{\alpha-2}d^4x =$$
$$\frac{1-p^{-\alpha}}{1-p^{\alpha-2}}\left[\int_{||x||_p>1}\varphi(x)|f\left(x\right)|_p^{\alpha-2}d^4x + \int_{||x||_p\leq 1}\left(\varphi(x)-\varphi\left(0\right)\right)|f(x)|_p^{\alpha-2}d^4x\right]$$
$$+\varphi(0)\frac{1-p^{-2}}{1-p^{\alpha-2}}.$$

□

From Lemma 6 follows that the distribution K_α has simple poles at the points $\alpha = 2 + \alpha_k := 2 + \frac{2k\pi i}{\ln p}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$\lim_{\alpha\to\alpha_k} K_\alpha := K_{\alpha_k} = \delta, \tag{3.3}$$

where δ denotes the Dirac distribution.

Lemma 7.

$$\int_{||x||_p>1}\frac{1}{|f\left(x\right)|_p^{\alpha+2}}dx = \frac{p^{-2\alpha}(1-p^{-2})\left(1+p^{\alpha}\right)}{1-p^{-2\alpha}}, \quad Re(\alpha) > 0.$$

Proof. Set $U = (\mathbb{Z}_p)^4 \setminus (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^4$ as before. Then

$$\int_{||x||_p>1}\frac{1}{|f\left(x\right)|_p^{\alpha+2}}d^4x = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\int_{p^{-m}U}\frac{1}{|f\left(x\right)|^{\alpha+2}}d^4x$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}p^{-2m\alpha}\int_U\frac{1}{|f\left(x\right)|_p^{\alpha+2}}d^4x = \frac{p^{-2\alpha}}{1-p^{-2\alpha}}\int_U\frac{1}{|f\left(x\right)|_p^{\alpha+2}}d^4x$$
$$= \frac{p^{-2\alpha}(1-p^{-2})\left(1+p^{\alpha}\right)}{1-p^{-2\alpha}},$$

where we used that (3.2) is valid for α negative. □

Proposition 3. *For $Re(\alpha) > 0$ and $\varphi \in \mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$, the following formulas hold:*

(i) $\langle K_\alpha, \varphi\rangle = \dfrac{1-p^{-\alpha}}{1-p^{\alpha-2}}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4\setminus\{0\}}|f\left(x\right)|_p^{\alpha-2}\varphi(x)d^4x, \quad \alpha \neq 2+\alpha_k;$

(ii) $\langle K_{-\alpha}, \varphi\rangle = \dfrac{1-p^{\alpha}}{1-p^{-\alpha-2}}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4}\frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(0)}{|f(x)|_p^{\alpha+2}}d^4x;$

(iii) $(K_\alpha * \varphi)(x) = \dfrac{1-p^{-\alpha}}{1-p^{\alpha-2}}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4\setminus\{0\}}|f(y)|_p^{\alpha-2}\varphi(x+y)d^4y, \quad \alpha \neq 2+\alpha_k;$

(iv) $(K_{-\alpha} * \varphi)(x) = \dfrac{1-p^{\alpha}}{1-p^{-\alpha-2}} \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4} \frac{\varphi(x+y)-\varphi(x)}{|f(y)|_p^{\alpha+2}} d^4y.$

Proof. (i) Since every test function can be written a finite sums of characteristic functions of balls, Lemma 5 implies that

$$\frac{1-p^{-\alpha}}{1-p^{\alpha-2}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4 \smallsetminus f^{-1}(0)} |f(x)|_p^{\alpha-2} \varphi(x) d^4x$$

is well-defined for $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and $\alpha \neq 2 + \alpha_k$. The announced formula follows by a calculation similar to the one done in the proof of Lemma 6.

(ii) We first note that the integral

$$\frac{1-p^{\alpha}}{1-p^{-\alpha-2}} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4} \frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(0)}{|f(x)|_p^{\alpha+2}} d^4x$$

converges on $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha) > 0$. Indeed, since $f(x)$ is an elliptic quadratic form we have

$$B\,\|x\|_p^2 \leq |f(x)|_p \leq A\,\|x\|_p^2 \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n, \tag{3.4}$$

where A, B are positive constants, cf. [**32**, Lemma 1], then

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4} \frac{|\varphi(x)-\varphi(0)|}{|f(x)|_p^{\alpha+2}} d^4x \leq \frac{2\,\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}}{B^{2\,\mathrm{Re}(\alpha)+2}} \int_{\|x\|_p > p^m} \frac{1}{\|x\|_p^{2\,\mathrm{Re}(\alpha)+4}} d^4x < +\infty.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1-p^{\alpha}}{1-p^{-\alpha-2}} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4} \frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(0)}{|f(x)|_p^{\alpha+2}} d^4x = \\
&\frac{1-p^{\alpha}}{1-p^{-\alpha-2}} \left\{ \int_{||x||_p \leq 1} \frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(0)}{|f(x)|_p^{2+\alpha}} d^4x + \int_{||x||_p > 1} \frac{\varphi(x)}{|f(x)|_p^{2+\alpha}} d^4x \right\} - \varphi(0) \frac{1-p^{\alpha}}{1-p^{-\alpha-2}} \\
&\qquad \times \int_{||x||_p > 1} \frac{1}{|f(x)|_p^{2+\alpha}} d^4x \\
&= \frac{1-p^{\alpha}}{1-p^{-\alpha-2}} \left\{ \int_{||x||_p \leq 1} \frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(0)}{|f(x)|_p^{2+\alpha}} d^4x + \int_{||x||_p > 1} \frac{\varphi(x)}{|f(x)|_p^{2+\alpha}} d^4x \right\} \\
&\qquad - \varphi(0) \frac{1-p^{\alpha}}{1-p^{-\alpha-2}} \frac{p^{-2\alpha}(1-p^{-2})(1+p^{\alpha})}{1-p^{-2\alpha}} \\
&\qquad = \langle K_{-\alpha}, \varphi \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemmas 7 and 6.

(iii)-(iv) We recall that if $\varphi \in \mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$, then $(K_\alpha * \varphi)(x) = \langle K_\alpha(y), \varphi(x-y) \rangle$, and since $K_\alpha(-y) = K_\alpha(y)$, we have $(K_\alpha * \varphi)(x) = \langle K_\alpha(y), \varphi(x+y) \rangle$. Therefore (iii) follows from (i) and (iv) follows from (ii). □

3.2. Lizorkin spaces of second kind.

Consider the spaces

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi} := \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n) = \{\psi \in \boldsymbol{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n) \mid \psi(0) = 0\}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} := \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n) = \{\phi \mid \phi = \mathcal{F}[\psi], \quad \psi \in \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)\}.$$

The space $\mathbf{\Phi}$ is called *the* p-adic *Lizorkin space of test functions of second kind.* We equip $\mathbf{\Psi}$ and $\mathbf{\Phi}$ with the topology inherited from $\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. Note that $\mathcal{F}:\mathbf{\Psi}\rightarrow\mathbf{\Phi}$ is an isomorphism of linear spaces and $\mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{F}\left[\mathbf{\Psi}\right]\right)=\mathbf{\Psi}$.

Let $\mathbf{\Phi}'=\mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ denote the topological dual of the space $\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. This is space of *the p-adic Lizorkin space of distributions of the second kind.*

We define the Fourier transform of distributions $J\in\mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ and $G\in\mathbf{\Psi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \mathcal{F}\left[J\right],\psi\right\rangle &=\left\langle J,\mathcal{F}\left[\psi\right]\right\rangle, &&\text{for any } \psi\in\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n),\\ \left\langle \mathcal{F}\left[G\right],\phi\right\rangle &=\left\langle G,\mathcal{F}\left[\phi\right]\right\rangle, &&\text{for any } \phi\in\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n).\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that a $\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{\Psi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)]=\mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ and $\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)]=\mathbf{\Psi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. For further details about p-adic Lizorkin spaces the reader may consult [**1**].

3.3. The Riesz kernels form an Abelian group. The goal of this section is to prove the following result:

THEOREM 2. *For* $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{C}$, $K_\alpha * K_\beta = K_{\alpha+\beta}$ *in* $\mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$.

Before giving the proof we need to establish several auxiliary results.

DEFINITION 1. *Set* $f^\circ(x) := apx_1^2-px_2^2-ax_3^2+x_4^2$. *The Riesz kernel attached to* $f^\circ(x)$ *is the distribution*

$$K_{-\alpha}^\circ(x) := |f^\circ(x)|_p^{-\alpha} \text{ in } \mathbf{\Psi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4), \text{ for } \alpha\in\mathbb{C}.$$

PROPOSITION 4. *Considering* $K_\alpha\in\mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$ *and* $K_{-\alpha}^\circ\in\mathbf{\Psi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$, *we have*

$$\mathcal{F}\left[K_\alpha\right]=K_{-\alpha}^\circ \text{ for } \alpha\neq 2+\alpha_k \text{ and } \alpha\neq\alpha_k, k\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

PROOF. This results is a reformulation of Proposition 2. □

LEMMA 8.

$$\lim_{\alpha\rightarrow 2+\alpha_k}\left\langle K_\alpha,\varphi\right\rangle = -\frac{1-p^{-2}}{\ln p}\left\langle \ln|f(x)|_p,\varphi(x)\right\rangle \text{ for } \varphi\in\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^4). \tag{3.5}$$

REMARK 3. *We understand the right-hand side in (3.5) as the distribution induced by the locally integrable function* $\ln|f(x)|_p:\mathbb{Q}_p^4\setminus\{0\}\rightarrow\mathbb{R}$.

PROOF. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\alpha\rightarrow 2+\alpha_k}\left\langle K_\alpha,\varphi\right\rangle &= \lim_{\alpha\rightarrow 2+\alpha_k}\frac{1-p^{-\alpha}}{1-p^{\alpha-2}}\int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4\smallsetminus f^{-1}(0)}|f(x)|_p^{\alpha-2}\varphi(x)d^4x\\ &=\lim_{\beta\rightarrow 2}\left(1-p^{-\beta}\right)\int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4\smallsetminus f^{-1}(0)}\left[\frac{|x_1^2-ax_2^2-px_3^2+apx_4^2|_p^{\beta-2}-1}{1-p^{\beta-2}}\right]\varphi(x)d^4x\end{aligned}$$

by taking $\beta=\alpha-\alpha_k$ and by using the fact that $\int\varphi(x)d^4x=0$. Now by passing to the limit under the integral sign we have

$$\lim_{\alpha\rightarrow 2+\alpha_k}\left\langle K_\alpha,\varphi\right\rangle = -(1-p^{-2})\int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4\smallsetminus f^{-1}(0)}\frac{\ln|f(x)|_p}{\ln p}\varphi(x)d^4x.$$

The passage to the limit under the integral sign is justified by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem and the inequality

$$\left|\frac{e^{(\beta-2)\ln|f(x)|_p}-1}{1-e^{(\beta-2)\ln p}}\right| \leq C\left|\frac{\ln|f(x)|_p}{\ln p}\right| \text{ for } x\in \operatorname{supp}\varphi\subset \mathbb{Q}_p^4\setminus\{0\} \text{ and } |\beta-2|\leq 1,$$

where $C=C(p,\operatorname{supp}\varphi)$ is a positive constant. □

DEFINITION 2. *We define*

$$K_{2+\alpha_k}(x)=-\frac{1-p^{-2}}{\ln p}\ln|f(x)|_p\in \mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4).$$

LEMMA 9.

$$\langle \mathcal{F}[K_{2+\alpha_k}],\varphi\rangle=\left\langle K_{-2}^{\circ},\varphi\right\rangle, \quad \textit{for } \varphi\in\mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^4).$$

PROOF. By using the fact that $K_{2+\alpha_k}=K_2$ and by Proposition 4 we get

$$\langle \mathcal{F}[K_{2+\alpha_k}],\varphi\rangle=\lim_{\alpha\to 2+\alpha_k}\langle K_\alpha,\mathcal{F}[\varphi]\rangle=\lim_{\alpha\to 2}\langle K_\alpha,\mathcal{F}[\varphi]\rangle=\lim_{\alpha\to 2}\left\langle K_{-\alpha}^{\circ},\varphi\right\rangle.$$

Since $\varphi(0)=0$, there exists a constant $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\lim_{\alpha\to 2}\langle K_\alpha^{\circ},\varphi\rangle=\lim_{\alpha\to 2}\int\limits_{\|x\|_p>p^m}|f^{\circ}(x)|_p^{-\alpha}\varphi(x)\,d^4x.$$

We now use the fact that $f^{\circ}(x)$ is an elliptic quadratic form to get

$$B\,\|x\|_p^2\leq|f^{\circ}(x)|_p\leq A\,\|x\|_p^2 \text{ for any } x\in\mathbb{Q}_p^n, \tag{3.6}$$

where A, B are positive constants, cf. [**32**, Lemma 1]. Without loss of generality we may assume that $B\leq 1$ and that $m>0$. Then

$$|f^{\circ}(x)|_p^{-\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}|\varphi(x)|\leq\frac{|\varphi(x)|}{B^{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}\|x\|_p^{2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}}\leq\frac{|\varphi(x)|}{B^{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)+\epsilon}p^{2m(\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)+\epsilon)}}$$

which is an integrable function on $\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\smallsetminus B_m(0)\right)\cap\operatorname{supp}\varphi$ and $\alpha\in(2-\epsilon,2+\epsilon)$, where ϵ is a small fixed positive constant. Therefore by applying the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem we get

$$\lim_{\alpha\to 2}\langle K_\alpha^{\circ},\varphi\rangle=\int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4}|f^{\circ}(x)|_p^{-2}\varphi(x)\,d^4x.$$

□

PROPOSITION 5. *Considering* $K_\alpha\in\mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$ *and* $K_{-\alpha}^{\circ}\in\mathbf{\Psi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$,

$$\mathcal{F}[K_\alpha]=K_{-\alpha}^{\circ} \textit{ for } \alpha\in\mathbb{C}.$$

PROOF. The result follows from Proposition 4 and Lemma 9. □

LEMMA 10. *With the above notation the following assertions hold.*

(i) For any α, $\beta\in\mathbb{C}$, $K_{-\alpha}^{\circ}\cdot K_{-\beta}^{\circ}=K_{-(\alpha+\beta)}^{\circ}$ *in* $\in\mathbf{\Psi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$.

(ii) For any α, $\beta\in\mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{F}\left[K_{-\alpha}^{\circ}\cdot K_{-\beta}^{\circ}\right]=\mathcal{F}\left[K_{-\alpha}^{\circ}\right]*\mathcal{F}\left[K_{-\beta}^{\circ}\right]=\mathcal{F}\left[K_{-(\alpha+\beta)}^{\circ}\right]$ *in* $\in\mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$.

(iii) For any α, β, $\alpha+\beta\in\mathbb{C}\smallsetminus(\{\alpha_k:k\in\mathbb{Z}\}\cup\{2+\alpha_k:k\in\mathbb{Z}\})$, $K_\alpha*K_\beta=K_{\alpha+\beta}$ *in* $\in\mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$.

Proof. (i) It follows from Lemma 1. Indeed, the functions $K^{\circ}_{-\alpha}$ and $K^{\circ}_{-\beta}$ belong to L^1_{loc}, and

$$\lim_{\xi\to 0}\int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4} K^{\circ}_{-\alpha}(x)\varphi(x)K^{\circ}_{-\beta}(x-\xi)d^4x=\int\limits_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4} K^{\circ}_{-\alpha}(x)\varphi(x)K^{\circ}_{-\beta}(x)d^4x.$$

This last statement follows from the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem and (3.6) by the inequality

$$\left|K^{\circ}_{-\alpha}(x)|\varphi(x)|K^{\circ}_{-\beta}(x-\xi)\right|\leq C\left(\varphi,\alpha,\beta\right)||x||_p^{-2\alpha-2\beta}$$

for $x\in$supp φ and $||\xi||_p\leq p^{m(\varphi)}$, where $C\left(\varphi,\alpha,\beta\right)$ is a positive constant and $m\left(\varphi\right)$ is the largest integer satisfying $\varphi\mid_{B_{m(\varphi)}(0)}\equiv 0$.

(ii) It follows immediately from (i). Finally, (iii) follows from (ii) by Proposition 5. □

Lemma 11. *With the above notation the following assertions hold.*

(i) $K_{2+\alpha_k}*K_{2+\alpha_l}=K_{4+\alpha_k+\alpha_l}$ *in* $\mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$.

(ii) Assume that $\alpha+\beta\in\{2+\alpha_k:k\in\mathbb{Z}\}$, *then* $K_\alpha*K_\beta=K_{\alpha+\beta}$ *in* $\mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$.

(iii) For α, β, $\alpha+\beta\in\mathbb{C}\diagdown\{2+\alpha_k:k\in\mathbb{Z}\}$, $K_\alpha*K_\beta=K_{(\alpha+\beta)}$ *in* $\mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$.

Proof. (i) It is sufficient to show that $K_2*K_2=K_4$. By Lemma 10 (i), $K^{\circ}_{-2}\cdot K^{\circ}_{-2}=K^{\circ}_{-4}$. Now by applying Lemma 9 and Proposition 5 we get

$$\mathcal{F}\left[K^{\circ}_{-2}\cdot K^{\circ}_{-2}\right]=K_2*K_2=\mathcal{F}\left[K^{\circ}_{-4}\right]=K_4.$$

(ii) The non trivial case occurs when $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)\neq 2$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\beta)\neq 2$. By Lemma 10 (i), $K^{\circ}_{-\alpha}\cdot K^{\circ}_{-\beta}=K^{\circ}_{-2}$. Now by applying Proposition 5 (with $\alpha\neq\alpha_k$ and $\beta\neq\alpha_k$) and Lemma 9 we get

$$\mathcal{F}\left[K^{\circ}_{-\alpha}\cdot K^{\circ}_{-\beta}\right]=K_\alpha*K_\beta=\mathcal{F}\left[K^{\circ}_{-2}\right]=K_2.$$

(iii) If $\alpha=\alpha_k$ or $\beta=\alpha_k$, the formula holds since $K_{\alpha_k}=\delta$. Thus we may assume that $\alpha\neq\alpha_k$ or $\beta\neq\alpha_k$. Now the result follows from Lemma 10 (iii). □

Lemma 12. *For* $\alpha\in\mathbb{C}\diagdown\{2+\alpha_k:k\in\mathbb{Z}\}$, $K_2*K_\alpha=K_{2+\alpha}=K_\alpha*K_2$ *in* $\mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$.

Proof. If $\alpha=\alpha_k$, then $K_\alpha=\delta$ and the announced formula holds since $K_2=K_{2+\alpha_k}$. Thus we may assume that $\alpha\neq\alpha_k$. We consider two cases: (1) $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)<0$ and (2) $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0$.

Case 1. By definition

$$\begin{aligned}\langle K_2*K_\alpha,\varphi\rangle&=\lim_{k\to\infty}\langle K_2(x)\times K_\alpha(y),\Delta_k(x)\varphi(x+y)\rangle\\&=\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4}K_2(x)\Delta_k(x)\left\langle K_\alpha(y),\varphi(x+y)\right\rangle d^4x\end{aligned}$$

for $\varphi\in\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$.

On the other hand,

(3.7)

$$\begin{aligned}\langle K_\alpha(y),\varphi(x+y)\rangle=\langle K_\alpha(-y),\varphi(x-y)\rangle=\langle K_\alpha(y),\varphi(x-y)\rangle=\left(K_\alpha*\varphi\right)(y)\\=\frac{1-p^{-\alpha}}{1-p^{\alpha-2}}\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4}\frac{\varphi(x+y)-\varphi(x)}{|f(y)|_p^{-\alpha+2}}d^4y,\end{aligned}$$

cf. Proposition 3 (iv). By assuming that $\operatorname{supp}\varphi \subset B_N(0)$ and that $\|x\|_p > p^N$, it follows from (3.7) and (3.4) that

$$|\langle K_\alpha(y), \varphi(x+y)\rangle| \leq \frac{C(\alpha,\varphi)}{\|x\|_p^{-2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)+4}}.$$

Therefore

$$|K_2(x)\Delta_k(x)\langle K_\alpha(y), \varphi(x+y)\rangle| \leq \frac{C(\alpha,\varphi)\left|\ln|f(x)|_p\right|}{\|x\|_p^{-2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)+4}} \text{ for } \|x\|_p > p^N \text{ and } k \geq N,$$

and

$$|K_2(x)\Delta_k(x)\langle K_\alpha(y), \varphi(x+y)\rangle| \leq \frac{C(\alpha,\varphi)}{\|x\|_p^{-2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)+4-\epsilon}} \tag{3.8}$$

for $\|x\|_p > p^N$, $k \geq N$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) < \frac{-\epsilon}{2}$, where ϵ is an small fixed positive number. By using (3.8) and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem we get

$$\langle K_2 * K_\alpha, \varphi\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4} K_2(x)\langle K_\alpha(y), \varphi(x+y)\rangle\, d^4x.$$

Thus the convolutions $K_2 * K_\alpha$, $K_\alpha * K_2$ exist and they are equal for α satisfying $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) < \frac{-\epsilon}{2}$. Since ϵ is positive and arbitrary small, by using analytic continuation, $K_2 * K_\alpha = K_\alpha * K_2$ for $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) < 0$. This imply that $\mathcal{F}[K_2]\cdot\mathcal{F}[K_\alpha]$ exists and that $\mathcal{F}[K_2 * K_\alpha] = \mathcal{F}[K_2]\cdot\mathcal{F}[K_\alpha]$ for $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) < 0$. Finally, by using Lemma 9, Proposition 5 and Lemma 10 (i), we have

$$\mathcal{F}[K_2 * K_\alpha] = K^\circ_{-2}\cdot K^\circ_{-\alpha} = K^\circ_{-(\alpha+2)} = \mathcal{F}[K_{\alpha+2}] \text{ for } \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) < 0,$$

i.e.

$$K_2 * K_\alpha = K_{\alpha+2} \text{ for } \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) < 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Case 2. By Lemma 5, $K_{\alpha+2}$ is a holomorphic distribution on $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > -2$, then by analytic continuation, (3.9) holds for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}\smallsetminus\{2+\alpha_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. $\square$

3.3.1. *Proof of Theorem 2.* The theorem follows from Lemmas 11-12 by the following reasoning. After Lemma 10 (iii), it remains to establish the formula in the following cases.

Case 1. Exactly one of the indices α, β, $\alpha+\beta$ takes the value 0 (or equivalently α_k). Assume that $\alpha = 0$, since $K_0 = \delta$, we have $K_0 * K_\beta = K_\beta$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. The subcase $\beta = 0$ is treated in the same form. Finally, if $\alpha+\beta = 0$, the formula $K_\alpha * K_\beta = K_0$ holds if $\alpha, \beta \notin \{2+\alpha_k\}$, cf. Lemma 10 (iii). If $\alpha \notin \{2+\alpha_k\}$ and $\beta \in \{2+\alpha_k\}$ (i.e. $\beta = 2$), the formula holds due to Lemma 12. The subcase $\beta \notin \{2+\alpha_k\}$ and $\alpha \in \{2+\alpha_k\}$ (i.e. $\alpha = 2$) is treated in the same form. The subcase $\alpha, \beta \in \{2+\alpha_k\}$ (i.e. $\alpha = \beta = 2$) is not possible due to Lemma 11 (i).

Case 2. Exactly one of the indices α, β, $\alpha+\beta$ takes the value 2 (or equivalently $2+\alpha_k$). In this case the formula follows from Lemma 12 and Lemma 11 (ii).

Case 3. Exactly two of the indices α, β, $\alpha+\beta$ take the value 0 (or equivalently α_k). The verification of this case is straightforward.

Case 4. Exactly two of the indices α, β, $\alpha+\beta$ take the value 2 (or equivalently $2+\alpha_k$). Assume that $\alpha = \beta = 2$, in this subcase the formula follows from Lemma 11 (i). Assume now that $\alpha = 2$, $\alpha+\beta = 2$, in this case the formula follows from Lemma 11 (ii). The other subcases are treated in a similar form.

Case 5. All of the indices α, β, $\alpha+\beta$ take the value 0 (or equivalently α_k). The verification of this case is straightforward.

Case 6. All of the indices α, β, $\alpha+\beta$ take the value 2 (or equivalently $2+\alpha_k$). This case is impossible due to Lemma 11 (i).

Remark 4. *Theorem 2 is also valid for the elliptic quadratic forms of dimension* 2*, see Proposition 1. The existence of Riesz kernels attached to elliptic quadratic forms of dimension* 3 *forming a group under convolution is an open problem.*

4. Pseudodifferential Operators and Fundamental Solutions I

We take $f(\xi)=\xi_1^2-a\xi_2^2-p\xi_3^2+ap\xi_4^2$, $f^*(\xi)=\frac{ap\xi_1^2-p\xi_2^2-a\xi_3^2+\xi_4^2}{ap}$, with $a\in\mathbb{Z}$ a quadratic non-residue module p, as in Section 3. Given $\alpha>0$, we define the pseudodifferential operator with symbol $\left|pf^*(\xi)\right|_p^\alpha$ by

$$\begin{array}{lll}\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right) & \to & C\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)\cap L^2\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)\\ \\ \varphi & \to & \left(\boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial,\alpha\right)\varphi\right)(x):=\mathcal{F}_{\xi\to x}^{-1}\left(\left|pf^*(\xi)\right|_p^\alpha\mathcal{F}_{x\to\xi}\varphi\right).\end{array}$$

This operator is well-defined since $\left|pf^*(\xi)\right|_p^\alpha\mathcal{F}_{x\to\xi}\varphi\in L^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)\cap L^2\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$. By applying Propositions 2 and 3 (iv), we get

$$\boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial,\alpha\right)\varphi=K_{-\alpha}*\varphi=\frac{1-p^\alpha}{1-p^{-\alpha-2}}\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^4}\frac{\varphi(x-y)-\varphi(x)}{|f(y)|_p^{\alpha+2}}d^4y, \tag{4.1}$$

for $\varphi\in\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$.

Set $\mathcal{E}_{f,\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$ to be the class consisting of locally constant functions $\varphi(x)$ satisfying

$$\int\limits_{\|x\|_p\geq p^m}\frac{|\varphi(x)|}{|f(x)|_p^{\alpha+2}}d^4x<+\infty\text{ for some }m\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Lemma 13. *If $\varphi\in\mathcal{E}_{f,\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$, then the integral on the right- hand side of (4.1) converges.*

Proof. Since φ is locally constant there exists $l=l(x)\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\varphi(x-y)-\varphi(x)=0$ for $\|y\|_p\leq p^l$, thus, it is sufficient to show the convergence of the following integrals:

$$\int\limits_{\|y\|_p>p^l}\frac{1}{|f(y)|_p^{\alpha+2}}d^4y,\ \int\limits_{\|y\|_p>p^l}\frac{|\varphi(x-y)|}{|f(y)|_p^{\alpha+2}}d^4y=\int\limits_{\|x-z\|_p>p^l}\frac{|\varphi(z)|}{|f(x-z)|_p^{\alpha+2}}d^4z.$$

The convergence of the first integral follows from (3.4). To establish the convergence of the second integral, it is sufficient to show the convergence of the integral

$$\int\limits_{\|x-z\|_p>p^l}\frac{|\varphi(z)|}{\|x-z\|_p^{2\alpha+4}}d^4z \tag{4.2}$$

cf. (3.4). The convergence of this last integral is established by considering the cases: (i) $\|x\|_p<\|z\|_p$, (ii) $\|x\|_p>\|z\|_p$, (iii) $\|x\|_p=\|z\|_p$. The verification of cases (i)-(ii) is left to the reader. In the case (iii), we change variables as $x = p^M \widetilde{x}$, $z = p^M \widetilde{z}$ with $\|\widetilde{x}\|_p = \|\widetilde{z}\|_p = 1$ in (4.2), then

$$\int\limits_{\|x-z\|_p > p^l} \frac{|\varphi(z)|}{\|x-z\|_p^{2\alpha+4}} d^4 z = p^{2M\alpha} \int\limits_{\substack{\|\widetilde{x}-\widetilde{z}\|_p > p^{l+M} \\ \|\widetilde{z}\|=1}} \frac{\left|\varphi(p^M \widetilde{z})\right|}{\|\widetilde{x}-\widetilde{z}\|_p^{2\alpha+4}} d^4 \widetilde{z}$$
$$\leq p^{2M\alpha-(2\alpha+4)(l+M)} \int\limits_{\|\widetilde{z}\|=1} \left|\varphi(p^M \widetilde{z})\right| d^4 \widetilde{z} < +\infty.$$

□

The space of test functions $\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$ is not invariant under the action of $\boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial, \alpha\right)$. But if we replace $\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$ by $\mathbf{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$ then $\boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial, \alpha\right) \mathbf{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right) = \mathbf{\Phi}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$. The verification of this fact involves the same ideas used in the verification of the corresponding assertion for the Taibleson operator, see e.g. [**1**, Lemma 9.2.5]. On the other hand, the operator

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{\Phi}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right) & \rightarrow & \mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4) \\ & & \\ J & \rightarrow & \boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial, \alpha\right) J := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\left|pf^*\right|_p^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}\left[J\right]\right] \end{array}$$

is a homeomorphism. This is a consequence of the fact that the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{\Psi}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right) & \rightarrow & \mathbf{\Psi}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right) \\ & & \\ \varphi & \rightarrow & \left|pf^*\right|_p^{\alpha} \varphi \end{array}$$

is a homeomorphism.

Lemma 14. *The following formulas hold:*
(i) $\left\langle \boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial, \alpha\right) J, \varphi \right\rangle = \left\langle J, \boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial, \alpha\right) \varphi \right\rangle$ *for any* $J \in \mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$ *and* $\varphi \in \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$ *;*
(ii) $\boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial, \alpha\right) J = K_{-\alpha} * J$ *for any* $J \in \mathbf{\Phi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$.

Proof. (i) The formula follows from the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial, \alpha\right) J, \varphi \right\rangle &= \left\langle \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\left|pf^*\right|_p^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}\left[J\right]\right], \varphi \right\rangle = \left\langle J, \mathcal{F}\left[\left|pf^*\right|_p^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\varphi\right]\right] \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle J, \mathcal{F}\left[\left|pf^*\left(-\xi\right)\right|_p^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}\left[\varphi\right]\left(-\xi\right)\right] \right\rangle = \left\langle J, \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\left|pf^*\left(\xi\right)\right|_p^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}\left[\varphi\right]\left(\xi\right)\right] \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle J, \boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial, \alpha\right) \varphi \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The formula follows from the fact that $\left|pf^*\right|_p^{\alpha} \mathcal{F}\left[J\right] \in \mathbf{\Psi}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$ by using Proposition 5. □

Definition 3. *Consider the equation*

$$\boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial, \alpha\right) u = \varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^4). \tag{4.3}$$

A classical solution of (4.3) is a function u belonging to the domain of $\boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial, \alpha\right)$ which satisfies the equation. A fundamental solution of (4.3) is a distribution $E_\alpha \in \mathbf{\Phi}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$ such that $u\left(x\right) = \left(E_\alpha * \varphi\right)\left(x\right)$ is a classical solution of (4.3) for any $\varphi \in \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$.

LEMMA 15. *The following two assertions are equivalent:*
(i) $E_\alpha \in \mathbf{\Phi}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$ *is a fundamental solution of (4.3);*
(ii) $\boldsymbol{f}(\partial,\alpha) E_\alpha = \delta$ *in* $\mathbf{\Phi}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$.

PROOF. (i) $\Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{f}(\partial,\alpha)(E_\alpha * \varphi) = \varphi$ for any $\varphi \in \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^4) \Leftrightarrow p^{-\alpha}\left|f^*\right|_p^\alpha \mathcal{F}[E_\alpha] = 1$ in $\mathbf{\Psi}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right) \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{f}(\partial,\alpha) E_\alpha = \delta$ in $\mathbf{\Phi}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$. ☐

THEOREM 3. *(i) The function*

$$E_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1-p^{-\alpha}}{1-p^{\alpha-2}}|f(x)|_p^{\alpha-2} & \text{if} \quad \alpha \neq 2 \\ \\ -\dfrac{1-p^{-2}}{\ln p}\ln|f(x)|_p & \text{if} \quad \alpha = 2 \end{cases}$$

is a fundamental solution of (4.3).
(ii) Consider $|f|_p^s \in \mathbf{\Phi}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$. *Then*

$$\boldsymbol{f}(\partial,1)|f|_p^{s+1} = \frac{\left(1-p^{s+1}\right)\left(1-p^{-s-2}\right)}{\left(1-p^{-s-3}\right)\left(1-p^s\right)}|f|_p^s \ \ in \ \ \mathbf{\Phi}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right).$$

Here we are identifying $|f|_p^s$ *with its meromorphic continuation.*
(iii) If $u \in \mathcal{E}_{f,\alpha}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$ *and* $\boldsymbol{f}(\partial,\alpha)u = 0$, *then* u *is a constant function.*

PROOF. (i) By Lemma 15, we have to show the existence of a distribution E_α in $\mathbf{\Phi}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$ satisfying $\boldsymbol{f}(\partial,\alpha)E_\alpha = \delta$, which is equivalent (by Lemma 14 (ii)) to solve $K_{-\alpha} * E_\alpha = \delta$. By Theorem 2 this equation has unique solution $E_\alpha = K_\alpha$. Finally $u = E_\alpha * \varphi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(\varphi)}{|pf^*|_p^\alpha}\right) \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$ for $\varphi \in \mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^4)$.

(ii) Note that

$$|f|_p^{s+1} = \frac{1-p^{s+1}}{1-p^{-s-3}}K_{s+3} \ \text{ in } \ \mathbf{\Phi}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right) \ \text{ for } s \notin \{-3+\alpha_k\} \cup \{-1+\alpha_k\}.$$

Then by Lemma 14 (ii) and Theorem 2

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{f}(\partial,1)|f|_p^{s+1} = K_{-1} * |f|_p^{s+1} = \left(\frac{1-p^{s+1}}{1-p^{-s-3}}\right)K_{-1} * K_{s+3} = \left(\frac{1-p^{s+1}}{1-p^{-s-3}}\right)K_{s+2} \\ = \frac{\left(1-p^{s+1}\right)\left(1-p^{-s-2}\right)}{\left(1-p^{-s-3}\right)\left(1-p^s\right)}|f|_p^s \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathbf{\Phi}'\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$ for $s \notin \{-1+\alpha_k\} \cup \{-2+\alpha_k\} \cup \{-3+\alpha_k\} \cup \{\alpha_k\}$. The announced formula follows by analytic continuation, since the distributions $\boldsymbol{f}(\partial,1)|f|_p^{s+1}$ and $\frac{\left(1-p^{s+1}\right)\left(1-p^{-s-2}\right)}{\left(1-p^{-s-3}\right)\left(1-p^s\right)}|f|_p^s$ agree on an open and connected subset of the complex plane.

(iii) Since $u \in \mathcal{E}_{f,\alpha}\mathbb{Q}_p^4$, by Lemma 13, $\boldsymbol{f}(\partial,\alpha)u = K_{-\alpha} * u = 0$. Then $|f^*(\xi)|_p^\alpha \mathcal{F}[u] = 0$ and since $f^*(\xi)$ is an elliptic form we have $\mathcal{F}[u] = c\delta$, i.e. u is a constant function. ☐

5. Pseudodifferential Operators and Fundamental Solutions II

In this section we take $f(\xi) = a_1\xi_1^2 + a_2\xi_2^2 + \cdots + a_n\xi_n^2$, $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$, $i = 1,2,\ldots,n$, $f^*(\xi) = f(\frac{\xi_1}{a_1},\ldots,\frac{\xi_n}{an})$, and assume that $n \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ and that D^* is a square. By Corollary 1 we have

$$\mathcal{F}\left[\frac{\left(1-p^{-s}\right)\left(1-p^{-s+\frac{n}{2}-1}\right)}{|D|_p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\gamma\left(f\right)\left(1-p^{s-1}\right)\left(1-p^{s-\frac{n}{2}}\right)}\left|f\right|_p^{s-\frac{n}{2}}\right]=\left|f^{*}\right|_p^{-s}\ \text{ in } \boldsymbol{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n), \tag{5.1}$$

for $s\notin\{\alpha_k\}\cup\{1+\alpha_k\}\cup\left\{\frac{n}{2}-1+\alpha_k\right\}\cup\left\{\frac{n}{2}+\alpha_k\right\}$. We define, for $\alpha>0$, the pseudodifferential operator with symbol $\left|f^{*}\left(\xi\right)\right|_p^{\alpha}$ by

$$\begin{array}{lcl}\mathbf{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right) & \to & C\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)\cap L^2\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^n\right)\\ \varphi & \to & \left(\boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial,\alpha\right)\varphi\right)(x):=\mathcal{F}_{\xi\to x}^{-1}\left(\left|f^{*}\left(\xi\right)\right|_p^{\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{x\to\xi}\varphi\right).\end{array} \tag{5.2}$$

This operator is well-defined since $\left|f^{*}\left(\xi\right)\right|_p^{\alpha}\mathcal{F}_{x\to\xi}\varphi\in L^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)\cap L^2\left(\mathbb{Q}_p^4\right)$.

In this section we consider the equation

$$\boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial,\alpha\right)u=\phi,\ \ \phi\in\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n). \tag{5.3}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ the *space of locally constant functions.*

Lemma 16. *The operator*

$$\begin{array}{lcl}\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n) & \to & \boldsymbol{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)\\ T & \to & \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[\left|f^{*}\right|_p^{\alpha}\mathcal{F}\left[T\right]\right]\end{array}$$

is well-defined.

Proof. We identify T with a distribution on $\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. Since $\mathcal{F}\left[T\right]$ is a distribution with compact support, see e.g. [**28**, p. 109], [**26**, Proposition 3.17], the product of the distributions $\left|f^{*}\right|_p^{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{F}\left[T\right]$, where

$$\begin{array}{lcl}\left|f^{*}\right|_p^{\alpha}:\ \ \boldsymbol{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n) & \to & \mathbb{C}\\ \phi & \to & \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n\smallsetminus f^{*-1}(0)}\phi\left(x\right)\left|f^{*}\left(x\right)\right|_p^{\alpha}d^nx,\ \ \alpha>0,\end{array}$$

is an element of $\boldsymbol{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$, see [**28**, p. 114-115], and then its Fourier transform is also in $\boldsymbol{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$, since the Fourier transform is an homeomorphism of $\boldsymbol{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ onto $\boldsymbol{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. □

From now on we will identify $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ with a subspace of $\boldsymbol{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. The previous lemma allows us to extend the operator $\boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial,\alpha\right)$ to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$.

Definition 4. *We say that a distribution $T\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ is a weak solution of (6.1) if*

$$\boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial,\alpha\right)T=\varphi\ \textit{ in } \boldsymbol{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n).$$

On the other hand, if T belongs to the domain of a domain $\boldsymbol{f}\left(\partial,\alpha\right)$, i.e. $T=\Theta$ where $\Theta\in\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$, we say that $T\in\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ is a classical solution of (6.1).

Note that this definition implies that a classical solution is always a weak solution.

Definition 5. *A fundamental solution for (5.3) is distribution* $E_\alpha \in \mathbf{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ *such that* $E_\alpha * \phi$ *is a weak solution for every* $\phi \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$.

Theorem 4. *(i) If* $\alpha \notin \left\{1, \frac{n}{2}\right\}$, *then the function*

$$E_\alpha\left(x\right) = \frac{\left(1-p^{-\alpha}\right)\left(1-p^{-\alpha+\frac{n}{2}-1}\right)}{\left|D\right|_p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\gamma(f)(1-p^{\alpha-1})\left(1-p^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}}\right)}\left|f\left(x\right)\right|_p^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}}$$

is a fundamental solution if for (5.3).

(ii) If $\alpha = 1$, *then the function*

$$\begin{aligned} E_1\left(x\right) = {} & \frac{-2p^{-\frac{1}{2}n}\left(p^{\frac{1}{2}n-1} - p - p^{n-2} + p^{\frac{1}{2}n}\right)(p-1)}{\left|D\right|_p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\gamma\left(f\right)\left(2p + 2p^{3-n} - 4p^{2-\frac{1}{2}n}\right)\ln p}\left|f\left(x\right)\right|_p^{\frac{-n}{2}+1}\ln\left|f\left(x\right)\right|_p \\ & + \frac{p^{-\frac{1}{2}n}\left(5p^{n-2} - 3p^{n-1} + 5p - 3p^2 - 7p^{\frac{1}{2}n-1} + 2p^{\frac{1}{2}n} + p^{\frac{1}{2}n+1}\right)}{\left|D\right|_p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\gamma\left(f\right)\left(2p + 2p^{3-n} - 4p^{2-\frac{1}{2}n}\right)}\left|f\left(x\right)\right|_p^{\frac{-n}{2}+1} \end{aligned}$$

is a fundamental solution if for (5.3).

(iii) if If $\alpha = \frac{n}{2}$, *then the function*

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\frac{n}{2}}\left(x\right) = {} & \frac{p - 7p^{-1} + 5p^{-\frac{n}{2}} - 3p^{\frac{1}{2}n} - 3p^{1-\frac{1}{2}n} + 5p^{\frac{1}{2}n-1} + 2}{\left|D\right|_p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\gamma\left(f\right)\left(2p + 2p^{n-1} - 4p^{\frac{1}{2}n}\right)} \\ & - \frac{2p^{\frac{-n}{2}}\left(p^{\frac{1}{2}n-1} - p^{n-1} - 1 + p^{\frac{1}{2}n}\right)(p-1)}{\left|D\right|_p^{-\frac{1}{2}}\gamma\left(f\right)\left(2p + 2p^{n-1} - 4p^{\frac{1}{2}n}\right)\ln p}\ln\left|f\left(x\right)\right|_p. \end{aligned}$$

is a fundamental solution for (5.3).

Proof. The proof is based on the ideas introduced in [**31**]. The existence of a fundamental solution E_α is equivalent to the existence of a distribution $\mathcal{F}E_\alpha \in \mathbf{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ satisfying

$$|f^*|_p^\alpha \mathcal{F}E_\alpha = 1 \text{ in } \in \mathbf{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n). \tag{5.4}$$

The existence of a meromorphic continuation for the distribution $Z_\varphi\left(s, f^*\right)$ implies the existence of a Laurent expansion of type $|f^*|_p^s = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} c_m(s+\alpha)^m$ at $-\alpha$ with $c_m \in \mathbf{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ for all m, see e.g. [**7**, pp. 65-66]. Since the real parts of the poles of the meromorphic continuation of $|f^*|_p^s$ are negative rational numbers, $|f^*|_p^{s+\alpha} = |f^*|_p^\alpha |f^*|_p^s$ is holomorphic at $s = -\alpha$. Therefore, $|f^*|_p^\alpha c_m = 0$ for all $m < 0$ and

$$|f^*|_p^{s+\alpha} = |f^*|_p^\alpha c_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |f^*|_p^\alpha c_m (s+\alpha)^m. \tag{5.5}$$

By using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, one verifies that

$$\lim_{s\to-\alpha} \langle |f^*|_p^{s+\alpha}, \phi\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^n} \phi(x)\, dx = \langle 1, \phi\rangle,$$

and then we can take $\mathcal{F}E_\alpha = c_0$. Furthermore, if $-\alpha$ is not a pole of $|f^*|_p^s$,

$$\mathcal{F}E_\alpha = \lim_{s\to-\alpha} |f^*|_p^s. \tag{5.6}$$

To calculate c_0, consider the following three cases.

Case $\alpha \neq 1, \frac{n}{2}$.

It is known that $Z_{\varphi}(s, f^*) = \frac{L_{\varphi}(p^{-s})}{(1-p^{-s-1})(1-p^{-2s-n})}$ where $L_{\varphi}(p^{-s})$ is a polynomial in p^{-s} with rational coefficients, see e.g. [**8**], [**30**]. Then we compute E_{α} by using (5.6) and (5.1).

Case $\alpha = 1, \frac{n}{2}$.

We compute the constant terms in the Laurent expansions of $|f^*|_p^s$ around $(s+1)$ and $\left(s+\frac{n}{2}\right)$ by using (5.1). This can be done easily, by computing the constant terms of the Laurent expansions of $\frac{(1-p^{s})\left(1-p^{s+\frac{n}{2}-1}\right)}{(1-p^{-s-1})\left(1-p^{-s-\frac{n}{2}}\right)}p^{A\left(s+\frac{n}{2}\right)}$ around $(s+1)$ and $\left(s+\frac{n}{2}\right)$ using a package for symbolic calculation and then replacing $A = ord(f(x)) = \frac{-\ln|f(x)|_p}{\ln p}$. $\square$

6. Weak Solutions of Pseudodifferential Equations

In this section we construct weak solutions of the pseudodifferential equation

$$\boldsymbol{f}(\partial, \alpha)\, u = \varphi,\ \varphi \in \boldsymbol{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n) \tag{6.1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{f}(\partial, \alpha)$ is the operator defined in (5.2). In order to achieve this goal we need some results about p-adic analytic manifolds and integration of differential forms on them. Since this material is of algebraic geometric nature, we summarize some basic ideas and results required in this article. For a detailed presentation the reader may consult [**7**], [**23**].

6.1. p-adic analytic manifolds.

We denote by

$$\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle y-a\rangle\rangle := \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle y_1-a_1, \ldots, y_n-a_n\rangle\rangle$$

the ring of convergent power series around $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n$. Let $U \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ be an open set and let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ be a function. We say that f *is a p-adic analytic function on* U if for every point $a \in U$ there exists an element $f_a \in \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle y-a\rangle\rangle$ such that $f(y) = f_a(y)$ for y belonging to a small open set that contains a. Then f is differentiable and its partial derivatives are p-adic analytic functions on U, see e.g. [**7**, Section 2.1]. Now if $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^m$ is a mapping with $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$, we say f is a *p-adic analytic mapping on* U if each f_i is a p-adic analytic function on U.

Let X be a topological Hausdorff space. A pair (U, ϕ_U), where U is a nonempty open subset of X and ϕ_U is a homeomorphism from U to $\phi_U(U) \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^n$, with n fixed, is called a *chart*. Furthermore, we take $\phi_U(x) = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ for a variable point x of U. An *atlas* for X is a family of compatible charts $\{(U, \phi_U)\}$ covering X. There is a natural equivalence relation on atlases, like in the real case. By picking an atlas, in an equivalence class, for X, we equip X with an structure of *n-dimensional p-adic analytic manifold*.

The simplest example of an n-dimensional p-adic manifold is $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$. We now take $f^*(y) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ such that

$$\left\{y \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n : f^*(y) = \frac{\partial f^*}{\partial y_1}(y) = \ldots = \frac{\partial f^*}{\partial y_n}(y) = 0\right\} = \{0\} \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^n, \tag{6.2}$$

and set

$$M := \left\{y \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n : f^*(y) = 0\right\} \text{ and } M^{\circ} := M \setminus \{0\}.$$

Then M° *is an open submanifold of* $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ *of codimension* 1. We construct an atlas for M° as follows. Pick $b \in M^{\circ}$. We may assume, after renaming the coordinates if necessary, that $\frac{\partial f^*}{\partial y_1}(b) \neq 0$. Then by applying the implicit function theorem, see e.g. [**7**, Section 2.1], there exist an open set $U = U' \times U''$ containing b and analytic function $h(y_2, \ldots, y_n) : U'' \to U'$ such that

$$M^{\circ} \cap U = \left\{ (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n : y_1 = h(y_2, \ldots, y_n) \text{ with } (y_2, \ldots, y_n) \in U'' \right\}.$$

We set $(M^{\circ} \cap U, \Psi_{M^{\circ} \cap U})$ with

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \Psi_{M^{\circ} \cap U} : & M^{\circ} \cap U & \to & \mathbb{Q}_p^{n-1} \\ & & & \\ & (y_1, \ldots, y_n) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{M^{\circ} \cap U}} & (y_2, \ldots, y_n). \end{array}$$

Then $\{(M^{\circ} \cap U, \Psi_{M^{\circ} \cap U})\}$ is an atlas for M°.

6.2. Gel'fand-Leray forms and integration on p-adic submanifolds. Let X be an n-dimensional p-adic manifold with an atlas $\{(U, \phi_U)\}$, and let α be a differential form of degree n over X. We put $\phi_U(y) = (y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ for every y in U. We denote by $\mu_n(\cdot)$ the Haar measure of $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$. Then $\alpha \mid_U$ takes the form $\alpha(y) = h_U(y)\, dy_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dy_n$, where f_U is a p-adic analytic function on U. If A is an open compact subset of X contained in U, the we define its measure $\alpha(A)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(A) &= \int_A |h_U(y)|_p \, \mu_n(\phi_U(y)) \\ &= \sum_{e \in \mathbb{Z}} p^{-e} \mu_n \left\{ \phi_U\left(h_U^{-1}\left(p^e \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \right) \cap A \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is known that $\alpha(A)$ is independent of the chart used in calculation and that it extends to a well-defined measure on the on X. We will denote this measure as $\int_A d\alpha$. For further details the reader may consult [**7**, Section 7.4].

The condition (6.2) implies the existence of a differential form ω satisfying

$$dy_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dy_n = df \wedge \omega$$

around any point of M°. A such form is typically called a *Gelf'fand-Leray form*, see e.g. [**6**, Chap. III, Sect. 1-9], [**7**, Section 7.4 and 7.6], [**33**]. We denote the corresponding measure as $\omega(A) = \int_A d\omega$ for A an open compact subset of M°.

The notation $\left(y_1, \ldots, \widehat{y}_{l(j)}, \ldots, y_n\right)$ means omit the $l(j)$th coordinate. We now describe this measure in a suitable chart. We may assume that M° is a countable disjoint union of submanifolds of the form

$$M_j := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n : y_{l(j)} = h_j\left(y_1, \ldots, \widehat{y}_{l(j)}, \ldots, y_n\right) \\ \text{with } \left(y_1, \ldots, \widehat{y}_{l(j)}, \ldots, y_n\right) \in V_j, \end{array} \right\} \tag{6.3}$$

$h_j\left(y_1, \ldots, \widehat{y}_{l(j)}, \ldots, y_n\right)$ is an analytic function on some open compact subset V_j of $\mathbb{Q}_p^{n-1}$, and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{l(j)}}(z) \neq 0$ for any $z \in M_j$. If A is a compact open subset contained in M_j, then

$$\omega(A) = \int_{h_j^{-1}(A)} \frac{dy_1 \ldots d\widehat{y}_{l(j)} \ldots dy_n}{\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{l(j)}}(y) \right|_p}. \tag{6.4}$$

We recall to the reader that we are identifying the set $A \subset M$ with the set of all the coordinates of the points of A which is a subset of $\mathbb{Q}_p^{n-1}$.

6.3. Weak solutions. Any function θ in $\boldsymbol{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ has a natural restriction to M, which will be denoted by the same letter. For $\theta \in \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$, we define

$$u_H\left(x;\theta\right) = \int\limits_{M^\circ} \chi(\xi \cdot x)\theta\left(\xi\right) d\omega\left(\xi\right) = \int\limits_{M} \chi(\xi \cdot x)\theta\left(\xi\right) d\omega\left(\xi\right).$$

Lemma 17. $u_H\left(x;\theta\right) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$.

Proof. We show the convergence of the integral involved in the definition of $u_H\left(x;\theta\right)$. We left the reader the verification that $u_H\left(x;\theta\right)$ is a locally constant function. Without loss of generality we may suppose that θ is the characteristic function of a ball of type $\xi_0 + \left(p^e\mathbb{Z}_p\right)^n$ with $\xi_0 \in M^\circ$ and e a natural number big enough. Then we may suppose that $\xi_0 \in M^\circ = M_j$ with $l\left(j\right) = 1$ and $\theta\mid_{M_j} \equiv 1$, see (6.3)-(6.4), i.e.

$$u_H\left(x;\theta\right) = \int\limits_{V_j} \chi(x_1 h_1\left(\xi_2,\ldots,\xi_n\right) + \textstyle\sum_{j=2}^n x_j\xi_j) \dfrac{d\xi_2\ldots d\xi_n}{\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_1}\left(\xi\right)\right|_p},$$

therefore

$$\left|u_H\left(x;\theta\right)\right| \leq C \int\limits_{V_j} d\xi_2 \ldots d\xi_n < +\infty.$$

□

We now define

$$\begin{array}{cccc} R_\theta: & \boldsymbol{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n) & \to & \mathbb{C} \\ & \phi & \to & \displaystyle\int\limits_M \phi\left(-\xi\right)\theta\left(-\xi\right) d\omega\left(\xi\right), \end{array}$$

where θ is a fixed function in $\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$.

Lemma 18. *With the above notation the following assertions hold.*
(i) R_θ is a distribution on $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ with compact support.
(ii) $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[R_\theta\right] = u_H\left(x;\theta\right)$.
(iii) $\left|f^*\right|_p^\alpha R_\theta = 0$ in $\boldsymbol{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$.

Proof. (i) The convergence of the integral defining R_θ is established as in the proof of Lemma 17. It is clear that the support of R_θ is compact and it is contained in the support of θ intersection with M.

(ii) We recall that if T is a distribution in $\boldsymbol{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ with compact support, say supp $T \subset B_N^n$, then $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[T\right] \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[T\right](x) = \left(T\left(x\right), \Delta_N\left(x\right)\chi\left(\xi\cdot x\right)\right)$, see e.g. [**28**, p. 109]. We apply this result to $T = R_\theta$, with supp $\theta \subset B_N^n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left[R_\theta\right](x) = \left(R_\theta\left(\xi\right), \Delta_N\left(\xi\right)\chi\left(\xi\cdot x\right)\right) &= \int\limits_M \Delta_N\left(-\xi\right)\chi\left(-\xi\cdot x\right)\theta\left(-\xi\right) d\omega\left(\xi\right) \\ = \int\limits_M \chi\left(-\xi\cdot x\right)\theta\left(-\xi\right) d\omega\left(\xi\right) &= \int\limits_M \chi\left(\xi\cdot x\right)\theta\left(\xi\right) d\omega\left(\xi\right) = u_H\left(x;\theta\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used (6.3)-(6.4) to check that the last integral is invariant under $\xi \to -\xi$.

(iii) Since R_θ has compact support by (i), the product $|f^*|_p^\alpha R_\theta$ exits in $\boldsymbol{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ and

$$\left(|f^*|_p^\alpha R_\theta, \varphi\right) = \lim_{k\to+\infty} \left(R_\theta(x), \left[|f^*|_p^\alpha * \delta_k\right](x)\,\varphi(x)\right).$$

On the other hand,

$$\left[|f^*|_p^\alpha * \delta_k\right](x) = \left(|f^*(y)|_p^\alpha, \delta_k(x-y)\right) = p^{nk} \int\limits_{x+(p^k\mathbb{Z}_p)^n \smallsetminus f^{*-1}(0)} |f^*(y)|_p^\alpha \, d^n y.$$

By changing the center of the ball $x + \left(p^k\mathbb{Z}_p\right)^n$, if necessary, we may assume that $f^*(x) \neq 0$. Therefore

$$\left[|f^*|_p^\alpha * \delta_k\right](x) = |f^*(x)|_p^\alpha$$

for k big enough depending on x, and

$$\left(|f^*|_p^\alpha R_\theta, \varphi\right) = \left(R_\theta(x), |f^*|_p^\alpha \varphi\right) = 0 \text{ for any } \varphi \in \boldsymbol{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n).$$

□

Theorem 5. *The function* $u(x) = E_\alpha * \varphi + u_H(x;\theta)$ *with* $\varphi \in \boldsymbol{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ *and* $\theta \in \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ *is locally constant and is a weak solution of the equation* $\boldsymbol{f}(\partial,\alpha)\,u = \varphi$.

Proof. Lemma 17 implies that $u_H(x;\theta) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$, now by using the fact that the convolution of a distribution and test function is a locally constant function, we get $E_\alpha * \varphi \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ and $u(x) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. By Theorem 4 we have that $E_\alpha * \varphi$ is a weak solution of $\boldsymbol{f}(\partial,\alpha)\,u = \varphi$. Thus to prove the result we must show that $u_H(x;\theta)$ is a weak solution of $\boldsymbol{f}(\partial,\alpha)\,u = 0$.

By extending $\boldsymbol{f}(\partial,\alpha)$ to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$, see Lemma 16, we have that $\boldsymbol{f}(\partial,\alpha)\,u = 0$ with $u \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ if and only if $|f^*|_p^\alpha \mathcal{F}[u] = 0$ in $\boldsymbol{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. Now by Lemma 18 (ii)-(iii) $R_\theta = \mathcal{F}[u_H(x;\theta)]$ and $|f^*|_p^\alpha R_\theta$ belong to $\boldsymbol{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ and $|f^*|_p^\alpha R_\theta = 0$ in $\boldsymbol{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. □

Corollary 2 (Existence of Plane Waves). *The function* $\chi(\xi_0 \cdot x)$ *with* $\xi_0 \in M^\circ$ *is a weak solution of* $\boldsymbol{f}(\partial,\alpha)\,u = 0$.

Proof. The corollary follows from the fact that $|f^*(\xi)|_p^\alpha \,\delta(\xi - \xi_0) = 0$ in $\boldsymbol{S}'(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$. □

References

[1] S. Albeverio, A.Yu. Khrennikov, V.M. Shelkovich, Theory of p-Adic Distributions: Linear and Nonlinear Models, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 370, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[2] M. F. Atiyah, Resolution of singularities and division of distributions, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 23 (1970) 145–150.

[3] V. A. Avetisov, A. Kh. Bikulov, On the ultrametricity of the fluctuation dynamic mobility of protein molecules, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 265 (1) (2009) 75–81.

[4] Georges de Rham, Solution élémentaire d'opérateurs différentiels du second ordre, Ann. Inst. Fourier. Grenoble 8 (1958) 337–366.

[5] J. Galeano-Peñaloza, W. A. Zúñiga-Galindo, Pseudo-differential operators with semi-quasielliptic symbols over p-adic fields, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 386 (1) (2012) 32–49 .

[6] Gel'fand I. M., Shilov G.E., Generalized Functions, vol 1., Academic Press, New York and London, 1977.

[7] J.-I. Igusa, An introduction to the theory of local zeta functions, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 2000.

[8] Jun-ichi Igusa, Local zeta functions of general quadratic polynomials. K. G. Ramanathan memorial issue. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 104 (1) (1994) 177–189.

[9] Yoshiyuki Kitaoka, Arithmetic of quadratic forms,1993.

[10] Jun-ichi Igusa, Some results on p-adic complex powers, Amer. J. Math. 106 (5) (1984) 1013–1032.

[11] A.N. Kochubei, Pseudo-Differential Equations and Stochastics over Non-Archimedian Fields, Pure Appl. Math., vol. 244, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2001.

[12] Anatoly N. Kochubei, A non-Archimedean wave equation, Pacific J. Math. 235 (2) (2008) 245–261.

[13] A. N. Kochubei, On a p-adic wave equation, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 265 (1) (2009) 143–147.

[14] A. N. Kochubei, M. R. Sait-Ametov, Interaction measures on the space of distributions over the field of p-adic numbers, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. 6, no. 3, 389–411 (2003).

[15] Johan A. C. Kolk, V. S. Varadarajan, Riesz distributions. Math. Scand. 68 (2) (1991) 273–291.

[16] S. Rallis, G. Schiffmann, Distributions invariantes par le groupe orthogonal. Analyse harmonique sur les groupes de Lie (Sém., Nancy-Strasbourg, 1973–75), pp. 494–642. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 497, Springer, Berlin, 1975.

[17] Boris Rubin, Riesz potentials and integral geometry in the space of rectangular matrices. Adv. Math. 205 (2) (2006) 549–598.

[18] Marcel Riesz, L'intégrale de Riemann-Liouville et le problème de Cauchy, Acta Math. 81, (1949) 1–223.

[19] M. Sato, T. Shintani, On zeta functions associated with prehomogeneous vector spaces, Ann. Math. 100 (2) (1974) 131–170.

[20] Fumihiro Sato, p-adic Green functions and zeta functions. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. 51 (1) (2002) 79–97.

[21] Fumihiro Sato, On functional equations of zeta distributions. Automorphic forms and geometry of arithmetic varieties, 465–508, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 15, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989.

[22] Fumihiro Sato, Functional equation of the local zeta functions of quadratic forms, manuscript, 2002.

[23] Jean-Pierre Serre, Lie Algebras and Lie Groups. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, Amsterdam, 1968.

[24] J.J. Rodríguez-Vega, W.A. Zúñiga-Galindo, Taibleson operators, p-adic parabolic equations and ultrametric diffusion, Pacific J. Math. 237 (2) (2008) 327–347.

[25] J.J. Rodríguez-Vega, W.A. Zúñiga-Galindo, Elliptic pseudodifferential equations and Sobolev spaces over p-adic fields, Pacific J. Math. 246 (2) (2010) 407–420.

[26] M. H. Taibleson, Fourier analysis on local fields, Princeton University Press, 1975.

[27] J. T. Tate, Fourier analysis in number fields, and Hecke's zeta-functions. 1967 Algebraic Number Theory (Proc. Instructional Conf., Brighton, 1965) pp. 305–347 Thompson, Washington, D.C.

[28] V.S. Vladimirov, I.V. Volovich, E.I. Zelenov, p-Adic Analysis and Mathematical Physics, Ser. Soviet and East European Math., vol. 1, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 1994.

[29] André Weil, Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires, Acta Math. 111 (1964) 143–211.

[30] W. A Zúñiga-Galindo, Igusa's local zeta functions of semiquasihomogeneous polynomials, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (8) (2001) 3193–3207.

[31] W. A. Zúñiga-Galindo, Fundamental Solutions of Pseudo-differential Operators over p-adic Fields, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 109 (2003) 241–245.

[32] W.A. Zúñiga-Galindo, Parabolic equations and Markov processes over p-adic fields, Potential Anal. 28 (2008) 185–200.

[33] W.A. Zúñiga-Galindo, Local Zeta functions supported on analytic sets and Newton polyhedra, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2009), doi:10.1093/imrn/rnp035.

[34] W. A. Zúñiga-Galindo, Local zeta functions and fundamental solutions for pseudo-differential operators over p-adic fields, p-Adic Numbers Ultrametric Anal. Appl. 3 (4) (2011) 344–358.

Universidad de los Andes,Departamento de Matemáticas, Cra 1 N^o 18A- 12 Bogotá, Colombia
E-mail address: `oscasas@uniandes.edu.co`

Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Departamento de Matemáticas, Unidad Querétaro, Libramiento Norponiente #2000, Fracc. Real de Juriquilla. Santiago de Querétaro, Qro. 76230, México.
E-mail address: `wazuniga@math.cinvestav.edu.mx`